

ON SOME PROPERTIES OF MODULATION SPACES AS BANACH ALGEBRAS

HANS G. FEICHTINGER, MASAHARU KOBAYASHI, ENJI SATO

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we give some properties of the modulation spaces $M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ as commutative Banach algebras. In particular, we show the Wiener-Lévy theorem for $M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, and clarify the sets of spectral synthesis for $M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ by using the “ideal theory for Segal algebras” developed in Reiter [30]. The inclusion relationship between the modulation space $M_0^{p,1}(\mathbf{R})$ and the Fourier Segal algebra $\mathcal{FA}_p(\mathbf{R})$ is also determined.

1. INTRODUCTION

A commutative Banach algebra is a Banach space $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ which is a commutative algebra, i.e., with an associative, distributive and commutative multiplication satisfying $\|fg\|_X \leq \|f\|_X \|g\|_X$ for all $f, g \in X$. There are many examples of commutative Banach algebras. For this paper the Fourier algebra $A(\mathbf{R})$ is an important example, i.e., the set of all functions on \mathbf{R} which are the Fourier transforms of functions in $L^1(\mathbf{R})$, is a commutative Banach algebra with pointwise multiplication, denoted by $\mathcal{FL}^1(\mathbf{R})$ elsewhere. In addition, the Wiener algebra $A(\mathbf{T})$, which corresponds to a periodic version of $A(\mathbf{R})$, and the Fourier-Beurling algebra $\mathcal{FL}_s^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ ($s \geq 0$), which can be regarded as a generalization of $A(\mathbf{R})$, are commutative Banach algebras (see Section 2.3 for the definition of $\mathcal{FL}_s^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$). As is well known, those function spaces play important roles in various fields such as commutative Banach algebras, operating functions, and spectral synthesis. We refer the reader to Kahane [19], Katznelson [20], Reiter [30], Reiter-Stegeman [31] and Rudin [32] for more details.

On the other hand, modulation spaces $M_s^{p,q}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ form a family of function spaces introduced by Feichtinger [9] (see Definition 2.1). In some sense, they behave like the Besov spaces $B_s^{p,q}(\mathbf{R}^n)$. But they appear to be better suited for the description of problems in the area of

Date: May 16, 2024.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 42B35, 43A45, 42B10.

Key words and phrases. modulation spaces, Wiener-Lévy theorem, set of spectral synthesis, Segal algebra.

time-frequency analysis and are often a good substitute for the usual spaces $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ or $B_s^{p,q}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ (see [14], [17] for more details). It is important for our considerations that $M_s^{p,q}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is a commutative Banach algebra if $s > n(1 - \frac{1}{q})$, or $q = 1$ and $s \geq 0$ (cf. [13], Theorem 10), and that it appears naturally in the study of certain partial differential equations. For example, we can solve the nonlinear Schrödinger equations $iu_t + \Delta u = |u|^{2k}u$ in $M_s^{2,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$ by using the algebraic properties of $M_s^{p,q}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ (see [1], [37]). Furthermore, as a commutative Banach algebra, $M_s^{p,q}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ satisfies many interesting properties similar to $A(\mathbf{R})$, $A(\mathbf{T})$ and also $\mathcal{FL}_s^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ (cf. [2], [3], [21], [22], [23], [29]). Therefore, it is of interest to further clarify the properties of $M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$.

In this paper we study several properties of $M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ as a commutative Banach algebra. In particular, we will establish the validity of the Wiener-Lévy theorem for $M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, and see that the sets of spectral synthesis for $\mathcal{FL}_s^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ coincide with those for $M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, independently from p (given the general restrictions). Moreover, we will consider the inclusion relation between the modulation space $M_0^{p,1}(\mathbf{R})$ and the Fourier Segal algebra $\mathcal{FA}_p(\mathbf{R})$.

The organization of this paper is as follows. After a preliminary section devoted to the definition and basic properties of $M_s^{p,q}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and $\mathcal{FL}_s^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ we will demonstrate in Section 3 that there exist approximate units for $M_s^{p,q}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ (see Theorem 3.1). In Section 4 we consider the closed ideals in $M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and prove that closed modulation invariant subspaces of $M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ coincide with closed ideals in $M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Section 5 is devoted to the Wiener-Lévy theorem, which was originally proved by Wiener [38] and by Lévy [26] for $A(\mathbf{T})$. In particular we will show that the Wiener-Lévy theorem and also Wiener's general Tauberian theorem hold for $M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ if $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $s \geq 0$ (see Theorems 5.1 and 5.6). In Section 6 we will consider the set of spectral synthesis for $M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$. By using the "ideal theory for Segal algebras" developed in Reiter [30] we will clarify the relation between the sets of spectral synthesis for $M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and the sets of spectral synthesis for $\mathcal{FL}_s^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ (see Theorem 6.3). In Section 7 we will prove that single points of \mathbf{R}^n are sets of spectral synthesis for $M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ without using Theorem 6.3. Finally, in Section 8 we will determine the inclusion relation between $M_0^{p,1}(\mathbf{R})$ and the Fourier Segal algebras $\mathcal{FA}_p(\mathbf{R})$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

The following notation will be used throughout this article. We use C to denote various positive constants which may change from line to line. We use the notation $I \lesssim J$ if I is bounded by a constant times J and we

denote $I \approx J$ if $I \lesssim J$ and $J \lesssim I$. The closed ball with center $x_0 \in \mathbf{R}^n$ and radius $r > 0$ is defined by $B_r(x_0) = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n \mid |x - x_0| \leq r\}$. For $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$, we write $\langle x \rangle = (1 + |x|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. We define for $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $s \in \mathbf{R}$

$$\|f\|_{L_s^p} = \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (\langle x \rangle^s |f(x)|)^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

and $\|f\|_{L_s^\infty} = \text{ess. sup}_{x \in \mathbf{R}^n} \langle x \rangle^s |f(x)|$. We simply write $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ instead of $L_0^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$. For $1 \leq p < \infty$, we denote by p' the conjugate exponent of p , i.e., $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$. We write $C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ to denote the set of all complex-valued infinitely differentiable functions on \mathbf{R}^n with compact support. We write $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ to denote the Schwartz space of all complex-valued rapidly decreasing infinitely differentiable functions on \mathbf{R}^n and $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^n)$ to denote the space of tempered distributions on \mathbf{R}^n . We use $\langle F, G \rangle$ to denote the extension of the inner product $\langle F, G \rangle = \int F(t) \overline{G(t)} dt$ on L^2 to $\mathcal{S}' \times \mathcal{S}$ or $M_s^{p,q} \times M_{-s}^{p',q'}$ (see Lemma 2.2 (ii) below). The *Fourier transform* of $f \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{F}f(\xi) = f^\wedge(\xi) = \widehat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f(x) e^{-ix\xi} dx.$$

Similarly, if $h \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ then the inverse Fourier transform of h is defined by $\mathcal{F}^{-1}h(x) = (2\pi)^{-n} \widehat{h}(-x)$. We note that $\langle f, g \rangle_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)} = (2\pi)^{-n} \langle \widehat{f}, \widehat{g} \rangle_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)}$, $(f * g)^\wedge = \widehat{f} \widehat{g}$ and $(fg)^\wedge = (2\pi)^{-n} (\widehat{f} * \widehat{g})$, where $(f * g)(x) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f(x-y)g(y)dy$. Moreover, $M(\mathbf{R}^n)$ denotes the sets of all bounded regular Borel measures μ on \mathbf{R}^n with the norm

$$\|\mu\|_{M(\mathbf{R}^n)} = \sup_{f \in C_c(\mathbf{R}^n), \|f\|_{L^\infty} \leq 1} \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f(x) d\mu(x) \right|.$$

The Fourier-Stieltjes transform of μ is defined by $\widehat{\mu}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} e^{-ix\xi} d\mu(x)$. Here $C_c(\mathbf{R}^n)$ denotes the set of all $f \in C(\mathbf{R}^n)$ with compact support. Let δ_a ($a \in \mathbf{R}^n$) be the unit mass concentrated at the point $x = a$, i.e., $\delta_a(E) = 1$ if $a \in E$ and $\delta_a(E) = 0$ otherwise. Then we have $\delta_a \in M(\mathbf{R}^n)$, $\|\delta_a\|_{M(\mathbf{R}^n)} = 1$, $\widehat{\delta_a}(\xi) = e^{-ia\xi}$ and $\delta_a * \delta_b = \delta_{a+b}$ ($a, b \in \mathbf{R}^n$) (see [32, Ch.1.3] for more details). For two Banach spaces B_1 and B_2 , $B_1 \hookrightarrow B_2$ means that B_1 is continuously embedded into B_2 .

2.1. Short-time Fourier transform. For $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, the short-time Fourier transform V_ϕ of f with respect to the window ϕ is defined by the duality expression

$$V_\phi f(x, \xi) = \langle f, M_\xi T_x \phi \rangle = \langle f(t), \phi(t-x) e^{it\xi} \rangle = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f(t) \overline{\phi(t-x)} e^{-it\xi} dt,$$

where the translation operator T_x and the modulation operator M_ξ are defined by $(T_x h)(t) = h(t - x)$ and $(M_\xi h)(t) = e^{it\xi} h(t)$, respectively.

It is known that $V_\phi f \in C(\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n)$ (see [17, Lemma 11.2.3]) and

$$(1) \quad V_\phi f(x, \xi) = (2\pi)^{-n} e^{-ix\xi} V_{\widehat{\phi}} f(\xi, -x) = (2\pi)^{-n} e^{-ix\xi} (f * M_\xi \phi^*)(x),$$

where $\phi^*(x) = \overline{\phi(-x)}$ (see [17, Lemma 3.1.1]). We also note that if $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, then $V_\phi f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n)$ (see [17, Theorem 11.2.5]). Moreover, we have Moyals Equation:

$$\langle V_\phi f, V_\psi g \rangle_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^{2n})} = (2\pi)^n \langle \psi, \phi \rangle_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)} \langle f, g \rangle_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)}$$

for all $f, g, \phi, \psi \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$ (see [17, Theorem 3.2.1]).

2.2. Modulation spaces.

DEFINITION 2.1 ([9]). Let $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$, $s \in \mathbf{R}$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n) \setminus \{0\}$. The modulation space $M_s^{p,q}(\mathbf{R}^n) = M_s^{p,q}$ consists of all $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^n)$ such that the norm

$$\|f\|_{M_s^{p,q}} = \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \langle \xi \rangle^{sq} \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |V_\phi f(x, \xi)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

is finite (with usual modification if $p = \infty$ or $q = \infty$). We simply write $M^{p,q}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ instead of $M_0^{p,q}(\mathbf{R}^n)$.

We collect basic properties of $M_s^{p,q}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ in the following lemma:

LEMMA 2.2. *Let $1 \leq p, p_1, p_2, q, q_1, q_2 \leq \infty$ and $s, s_1, s_2 \in \mathbf{R}$. Then*

- (i) *The space $M_s^{p,q}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is a Banach space, and different windows define equivalent norms.*
- (ii) *(Density and duality) If $p, q < \infty$, then $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is dense in $M_s^{p,q}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and $(M_s^{p,q}(\mathbf{R}^n))' = M_{-s}^{p',q'}(\mathbf{R}^n)$.*
- (iii) *If $p_1 \leq p_2$, $q_1 \leq q_2$ and $s_1 \geq s_2$, then $M_{s_1}^{p_1,q_1}(\mathbf{R}^n) \hookrightarrow M_{s_2}^{p_2,q_2}(\mathbf{R}^n)$.*
- (iv) *If $s > \frac{n}{q'}$, or $q = 1$ and $s \geq 0$, then $M_s^{p,q}(\mathbf{R}^n) \subset C(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and $M_s^{p,q}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ are multiplication algebra, i.e., we have*

$$\|fg\|_{M_s^{p,q}} \leq c \|f\|_{M_s^{p,q}} \|g\|_{M_s^{p,q}}, \quad f, g \in M_s^{p,q}(\mathbf{R}^n)$$

for some $c \geq 1$.

- (v) *If $s \geq 0$, then there exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$\|f_\lambda\|_{M_s^{\infty,1}} \leq C \|f\|_{M_s^{\infty,1}} \quad \forall f \in M_s^{\infty,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$$

for all $0 < \lambda \leq 1$, where $f_\lambda(x) = f(\lambda x)$.

(i)-(v) are announced in [6, Theorem 3.2], [9, Section 6], [16], [35], [36] and summaries are given in [1], [7], [17, Chapter 11].

We also note that there is another characterization of the modulation spaces using BUPUs on the Fourier transform side (see [11],[13] or [37]): Assume that $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ satisfies

$$\text{supp } \varphi \subset [-1, 1]^n \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}^n} \varphi(\xi - k) = 1 \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathbf{R}^n.$$

Then we have, writing $\varphi(D - k)f = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(T_k \varphi \cdot \widehat{f})$:

$$\|f\|_{M_s^{p,q}} \approx \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}^n} \langle k \rangle^{sq} \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |\varphi(D - k)f(x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

with obvious modifications if p or $q = \infty$.

REMARK 2.3. We note that we may assume that $0 \leq \varphi(\xi) \leq 1$ ($\xi \in \mathbf{R}^n$) and $\varphi(\xi) = 1$ on the box $[-\frac{1}{10}, \frac{1}{10}]^n$.

REMARK 2.4. Let $1 \leq q \leq \infty$, $s \in \mathbf{R}$ and $(B, \|\cdot\|_B)$ be a Banach space of tempered distributions on \mathbf{R}^n such that $\mathcal{S} \cdot B \subset B$. Using BUPUs the *Wiener amalgam space* $W(B, \ell_s^q)(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is defined by the norm

$$\|f\|_{W(B, \ell_s^q)} = \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}^n} \langle k \rangle^{sq} \|f \cdot T_k \varphi\|_B^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty.$$

We note that $W(\mathcal{F}L^p, \ell_s^q)(\mathbf{R}^n) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(M_s^{p,q}(\mathbf{R}^n))$, where $\mathcal{F}L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)(= \mathcal{F}L^p)$ denotes the Fourier-Lebesgue space with the norm $\|f\|_{\mathcal{F}L^p} = \|\widehat{f}\|_{L^p}$ (see also Section 2.3 below).

The following lemma seems to be known to many people, but for the reader's convenience, we give the proof (cf. [13], [18] or [34]).

LEMMA 2.5. *Let $1 \leq p, q < \infty$. Suppose that $s > n/q'$, or $q = 1$ and $s \geq 0$. Then we have*

$$\|fg\|_{M_s^{p,q}} \lesssim \|f\|_{M_s^{\infty,q}} \|g\|_{M_s^{p,q}}, \quad f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n).$$

If $f \in M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and $g \in M_{-s}^{p',\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, then $fg \in M_{-s}^{1,\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n) (\hookrightarrow M_{-s}^{p',\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n))$.

PROOF. We start the proof by observing that the pointwise relationships for modulation spaces correspond to convolution relations for their (inverse) Fourier transforms in $W(\mathcal{F}L^p, \ell_s^q)(\mathbf{R}^n)$.

The claim made is thus equivalent to the statement that (writing f, g for \widehat{f}, \widehat{g} respectively):

$$(2) \quad \|f * g\|_{W(\mathcal{F}L^p, \ell_s^q)} \lesssim \|f\|_{W(\mathcal{F}L^\infty, \ell_s^q)} \|g\|_{W(\mathcal{F}L^p, \ell_s^q)}$$

which results by the coordinate-wise convolution result established in [12] and the fact that

$$\mathcal{F}L^p * \mathcal{F}L^\infty \subset \mathcal{F}L^p \quad \text{because} \quad L^p \cdot L^\infty \subseteq L^p,$$

as well as the fact that $(\ell_s^q(\mathbf{Z}^n), \|\cdot\|_{\ell_s^q})$ is a Banach algebra with respect to the natural convolution, because the weight function $x \mapsto \langle x \rangle^s$ is weakly subadditive for any $s \geq 0$ and consequently $\ell^1(\mathbf{Z}^n) \cap \ell_s^q(\mathbf{Z}^n)$ is a Banach algebra with respect to convolution. This observation have been made explicit in [4]. But for $s > \frac{n}{q'}$, it follows from the Hölder inequality that $\ell_s^q(\mathbf{Z}^n) \hookrightarrow \ell^1(\mathbf{Z}^n)$ (with corresponding continuous embedding), and thus $(\ell_s^q(\mathbf{Z}^n), \|\cdot\|_{\ell_s^q})$ is Banach algebra with respect to (discrete) convolution.

In a similar way we use the local convolution relation

$$\mathcal{F}L^p * \mathcal{F}L^{p'} \subset \mathcal{F}L^\infty \quad \text{due to the relation} \quad L^p \cdot L^{p'} \subseteq L^\infty,$$

combined with the global condition

$$\ell_s^p * \ell_{-s}^{p'} \subseteq \ell_{-s}^\infty$$

using the submultiplicativity condition (writing $x = (x - y) + y$):

$$\langle x + y \rangle^{-s} \leq \langle x \rangle^{-s} \langle y \rangle^s.$$

□

Let us also observe another consequence of the reasoning used in the last proof, which allows to provide some insight into the pointwise multiplier algebra of Sobolev algebras. We formulate it as another lemma. Let us shortly recall that the classical Sobolev spaces $(H^s(\mathbf{R}^n), \|\cdot\|_{H^s})$ are just inverse images of (polynomially) weighted L^2 -spaces, and thus appear in the family of modulation spaces as

$$H^s = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(L_s^2) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(W(\mathcal{F}^{-1}(L^2), \ell_s^2)) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(W(L^2, \ell_s^2))$$

so that one has $\ell_s^2(\mathbf{Z}^n) \hookrightarrow \ell^1(\mathbf{Z}^n)$ for $s > \frac{n}{2}$ via the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and consequently by the Hausdorff-Young version for Wiener amalgams (see [10]) one has the following chain of continuous embeddings:

$$H^s \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}^{-1}(W(L^2, \ell^1)) \hookrightarrow W(\mathcal{F}L^1, \ell^2) \hookrightarrow W(C_0, \ell^2).$$

LEMMA 2.6. *For $s > n/2$ we have $M_s^{\infty,2} \cdot H^s \subseteq H^s$, meaning that $M_s^{\infty,2}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is a subset of the pointwise multiplier algebra of the Sobolev algebra $H^s(\mathbf{R}^n)$.*

PROOF. We have already noted that $s > n/2$ implies $H^s(\mathbf{R}^n) \hookrightarrow W(C_0, \ell^2)(\mathbf{R}^n)$. The following reasoning implies the classical fact that it is a Banach algebra with respect to pointwise multiplication (denoted as Sobolev algebra).

We have to verify that the corresponding convolution relation is valid on the Fourier transform side. In fact, we may invoke the main result of [12]:

$$(3) \quad W(\mathcal{F}L^\infty, \ell_s^2) * W(\mathcal{F}L^2, \ell_s^2) \subset W(L^2, \ell_s^2),$$

using again that $\ell_s^2(\mathbf{Z}^n) = \ell_s^2(\mathbf{Z}^n) \cap \ell^1(\mathbf{Z}^n)$ is a Banach algebra with respect to convolution, and

$$\mathcal{F}L^\infty * \mathcal{F}L^2 \subseteq \mathcal{F}L^2 = L^2, \quad \text{due to the fact that } L^\infty \cdot L^2 \subseteq L^2.$$

□

In order to make our paper self-contained let us recall the following result which makes use of elementary inclusion results for Wiener amalgams and the Hausdorff-Young theorem for generalized amalgam spaces as described in [13, Theorem 9]. It states (in the unweighted version) that one has

$$\mathcal{F}(W(\mathcal{F}L^p, \ell^q)) \hookrightarrow W(\mathcal{F}L^q, \ell^p) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq q \leq p \leq \infty.$$

In particular it implies the Fourier invariance of the space $W(\mathcal{F}L^p, \ell^p)$, for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ (the unweighted version of Theorem 6 of [13]).

LEMMA 2.7. *For $1 \leq p \leq 2$ we have the chain of continuous embeddings*

$$W(\mathcal{F}L^p, \ell^p) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}L^p \hookrightarrow W(\mathcal{F}L^p, \ell^{p'})$$

or by taking inverse Fourier transforms

$$M^{p,p}(\mathbf{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L^p(\mathbf{R}^n) \hookrightarrow M^{p,p'}(\mathbf{R}^n).$$

In particular, we have $M^{2,2}(\mathbf{R}^n) = L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$.

PROOF. We start with the observation that the classical Hausdorff-Young estimate implies for $1 \leq p \leq 2$, with $1/p' + 1/p = 1$:

$$\mathcal{F}L^p \hookrightarrow L^{p'} \quad \text{or equivalently} \quad L^p \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}L^{p'}$$

and consequently

$$W(\mathcal{F}L^p, \ell^p) \hookrightarrow W(L^{p'}, \ell^p) \hookrightarrow W(L^p, \ell^p) = L^p,$$

which implies:

$$W(\mathcal{F}L^p, \ell^p) = \mathcal{F}(W(\mathcal{F}L^p, \ell^p)) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}L^p, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq p \leq 2.$$

Thus the first continuous embeddings is verified. For the second inclusion recall that [13]) (see above, due to the obvious fact that $p \leq 2 \leq p'$) implies

$$\mathcal{F}L^p = \mathcal{F}(W(L^p, \ell^p)) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}(W(\mathcal{F}L^{p'}, \ell^p)) \hookrightarrow_{HY} W(\mathcal{F}L^p, \ell^{p'}).$$

□

2.3. Fourier-Beurling algebra. For $s \geq 0$ the Fourier-Beurling algebra $\mathcal{FL}_s^1(\mathbf{R}^n) = \mathcal{FL}_s^1$ is the set of all $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^n)$ such that the norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{FL}_s^1} = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \langle \xi \rangle^s |\widehat{f}(\xi)| d\xi$$

is finite. It is well-known that $\mathcal{FL}_s^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is a multiplication algebra, because Beurling algebras are Banach convolution algebras ([31]). We also recall the following result (e.g., [31, Proposition 1.6.14]):

LEMMA 2.8. *Let $s \geq 0$ and $f \in \mathcal{FL}_s^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ such that $\|f - \phi f\|_{\mathcal{FL}_s^1} < \varepsilon$.*

For the inclusion relation between $\mathcal{FL}_s^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and $M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, we have the following (cf. [28], [29]).

LEMMA 2.9. *For $1 \leq p \leq 2$ and $s \geq 0$ one has $M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{FL}_s^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$.*

PROOF. Let $f \in M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ be such that $\text{supp } \varphi \subset [-1, 1]^n$ and $\sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}^n} \varphi(\xi - k) = 1$. Since $\text{supp } \varphi(\cdot - k) \subset k + [-1, 1]^n$, it follows from the Minkowski inequality for integral, the Hölder inequality and the Hausdorff-Young inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{\mathcal{FL}_s^1} &\leq \left\| \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}^n} \langle \cdot \rangle^s |\varphi(\cdot - k) \widehat{f}(\cdot)| \right\|_{L^1} \lesssim \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}^n} \langle k \rangle^s \|\varphi(\cdot - k) \widehat{f}(\cdot)\|_{L^1} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}^n} \langle k \rangle^s \|\varphi(\cdot - k) \widehat{f}(\cdot)\|_{L^{p'}} \lesssim \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}^n} \langle k \rangle^s \|\varphi(D - k)f\|_{L^p} = \|f\|_{M_s^{p,1}}, \end{aligned}$$

which yields the desired result. \square

LEMMA 2.10. *For $s \geq 0$ we define the space $(\mathcal{FL}_s^1)_c$ by*

$$(\mathcal{FL}_s^1)_c = \{f \in \mathcal{FL}_s^1(\mathbf{R}^n) \mid \text{supp } f \text{ is compact}\}.$$

Then we have $(\mathcal{FL}_s^1)_c \hookrightarrow M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ for $1 \leq p < \infty$.

PROOF. Let $f \in \mathcal{FL}_s^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and $\text{supp } f$ be compact. Then for $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ with $\phi(x) = 1$ on $\text{supp } f$, we have by Lemma 2.5

$$\|f\|_{M_s^{p,1}} = \|f\phi\|_{M_s^{p,1}} \lesssim \|f\|_{M_s^{\infty,1}} \|\phi\|_{M_s^{p,1}}.$$

Moreover, for $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ with $\sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}^n} \varphi(\xi - k) = 1$, we have that

$$\|f\|_{M_s^{\infty,1}} = \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}^n} \langle k \rangle^s \|\varphi(D - k)f\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}^n} \langle k \rangle^s \|\varphi(\cdot - k) \widehat{f}\|_{L^1} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{FL}_s^1},$$

which implies the desired result. \square

3. APPROXIMATE UNITS

In this section, we prove the following result, which corresponds to the $M_s^{p,q}$ -version of Bhimani-Ratnakumar [3, Proposition 3.14].

LEMMA 3.1. *Given $1 \leq p, q < \infty$ and $s > n/q'$, or $q = 1$ and $s \geq 0$. Then for any $f \in M_s^{p,q}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, and $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ such that*

$$\|f - \phi f\|_{M_s^{p,q}} < \varepsilon.$$

We remark that Bhimani [2, Proposition 4.8] considers the case $q = 1$ and $s = 0$.

3.1. Technical lemmas. To prove Theorem 3.1 we prepare a lemma.

LEMMA 3.2. *Let $s \geq 0$ and $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ be such that $\psi(0) = 1$. For $0 < \lambda < 1$, we define $\psi_\lambda(x) = \psi(\lambda x)$. Then, for any $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $0 < \lambda_0 < 1$ such that*

$$\|(1 - \psi_\lambda)g\|_{M_s^{1,1}} < \varepsilon \quad \forall 0 < \lambda < \lambda_0.$$

PROOF. Let $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n) \setminus \{0\}$. We first note that

$$V_\phi((1 - \psi_\lambda)g)(x, \xi) = (2\pi)^{-n} e^{-ix\xi} V_{\widehat{\phi}}(\widehat{g} - (2\pi)^{-n}(\widehat{\psi_\lambda} * \widehat{g}))(\xi, -x)$$

by (1) in Section 2.1. Moreover, since $\widehat{\psi_\lambda}(\eta) = \frac{1}{\lambda^n} \widehat{\psi}(\frac{\eta}{\lambda})$ and $1 = \psi(0) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \widehat{\psi}(\eta) d\eta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{g}(t) - (2\pi)^{-n}(\widehat{\psi_\lambda} * \widehat{g})(t) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi\lambda)^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (\widehat{g}(t) - \widehat{g}(t - \eta)) \widehat{\psi}\left(\frac{\eta}{\lambda}\right) d\eta \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (\widehat{g}(t) - (T_{\lambda\eta}\widehat{g})(t)) \widehat{\psi}(\eta) d\eta \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$V_{\widehat{\phi}}(\widehat{g} - (2\pi)^{-n}(\widehat{\psi_\lambda} * \widehat{g}))(\xi, -x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} V_{\widehat{\phi}}(\widehat{g} - T_{\lambda\eta}\widehat{g})(\xi, -x) \widehat{\psi}(\eta) d\eta.$$

Therefore we obtain by the Fubini Theorem that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(1 - \psi_\lambda)g\|_{M_s^{1,1}} &\approx \iint_{\mathbf{R}^{2n}} \langle \xi \rangle^s |V_{\widehat{\phi}}(\widehat{g} - (2\pi)^{-n}(\widehat{\psi_\lambda} * \widehat{g}))(\xi, -x)| dx d\xi \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \|\langle \xi \rangle^s V_{\widehat{\phi}}(\widehat{g} - T_{\lambda\eta}\widehat{g})(\xi, -x)\|_{L^1(\mathbf{R}_{(x,\xi)}^{2n})} |\widehat{\psi}(\eta)| d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since $0 < \lambda < 1$, $s \geq 0$ and

$$V_{\widehat{\phi}}(T_{\lambda\eta}\widehat{g})(\xi, -x) = e^{-i\lambda\eta(-x)} (V_{\widehat{\phi}}\widehat{g})(\xi - \lambda\eta, -x),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\langle \xi \rangle^s V_{\widehat{\phi}}(\widehat{g} - T_{\lambda\eta}\widehat{g})(\xi, -x)\|_{L^1(\mathbf{R}_{(x,\xi)}^{2n})} \\
& \lesssim \iint_{\mathbf{R}^{2n}} \langle \xi \rangle^s |V_{\widehat{\phi}}\widehat{g}(\xi, -x)| dx d\xi + \langle \lambda\eta \rangle^s \iint_{\mathbf{R}^{2n}} \langle \xi - \lambda\eta \rangle^s |V_{\widehat{\phi}}\widehat{g}(\xi - \lambda\eta, -x)| dx d\xi \\
& \lesssim \langle \eta \rangle^s \|\langle \xi \rangle^s V_{\widehat{\phi}}\widehat{g}(\xi, -x)\|_{L^1(\mathbf{R}_{(x,\xi)}^{2n})}.
\end{aligned}$$

We note that since $\widehat{\phi}, \widehat{g} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, we have $V_{\widehat{\phi}}\widehat{g} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^{2n})$, and also

$$V_{\widehat{\phi}}(\widehat{g} - T_{\lambda\eta}\widehat{g})(\xi, -x) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (\widehat{g}(t) - \widehat{g}(t - \lambda\eta)) \overline{\widehat{\phi}(t - \xi)} e^{ixt} dt \rightarrow 0 \quad (\lambda \rightarrow 0)$$

for all $\eta, \xi, x \in \mathbf{R}^n$. Thus it follows from the Lebesgue convergence theorem that

$$\|(1 - \psi_\lambda)g\|_{M_s^{1,1}} \lesssim \iiint_{\mathbf{R}^{3n}} \langle \xi \rangle^s |V_{\widehat{\phi}}(\widehat{g} - T_{\lambda\eta}\widehat{g})(\xi, -x)| |\widehat{\psi}(\eta)| dx d\xi d\eta \rightarrow 0$$

as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, which implies the desired result. \square

3.2. The proof of Theorem 3.1. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is dense in $M_s^{p,q}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, there exists $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ such that $\|f - g\|_{M_s^{p,q}} < \varepsilon$. Moreover by Lemma 3.2, there exist $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and $0 < \lambda_0 < 1$ such that $\|(1 - \psi_\lambda)g\|_{M_s^{1,1}} < \varepsilon$ for all $0 < \lambda < \lambda_0$, where $\psi_\lambda(x) = \psi(\lambda x)$. Thus we have by Lemma 2.2 (v) and Lemma 2.5 that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f - \psi_\lambda f\|_{M_s^{p,q}} & \lesssim \|f - g\|_{M_s^{p,q}} + \|\psi_\lambda(f - g)\|_{M_s^{p,q}} + \|(1 - \psi_\lambda)g\|_{M_s^{p,q}} \\
& \lesssim (1 + \|\psi_\lambda\|_{M_s^{\infty,q}}) \|f - g\|_{M_s^{p,q}} + \|(1 - \psi_\lambda)g\|_{M_s^{p,q}} \\
& \lesssim (1 + \|\psi_\lambda\|_{M_s^{\infty,1}}) \|f - g\|_{M_s^{p,q}} + \|(1 - \psi_\lambda)g\|_{M_s^{1,1}} \\
& \leq (2 + C\|\psi\|_{M_s^{\infty,1}}) \varepsilon,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies the desired result.

4. CLOSED IDEALS IN $M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$

In this section, we consider the closed ideals in $M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, which play an important role in Section 6. Throughout this section, X stands for $M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ ($1 \leq p < \infty$, $s \geq 0$) or $\mathcal{FL}_s^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ ($s \geq 0$).

DEFINITION 4.1. Let I be a linear subspace of X . Then I is called an *ideal* in X if $fg \in I$ whenever $f \in X$ and $g \in I$. Moreover, if an ideal I in X is a closed subset of X , then I is called a *closed ideal* in X . For a subset S of X , the set $\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} I_\lambda$ is called the ideal generated by S , where $\{I_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ denoted the set of all ideals in X containing S .

It is easy to see that the closed ideal generated by S coincides with the closure in X of the set

$$\left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n f_j g_j \mid f_j \in X, g_j \in S, n \in \mathbf{N} \right\}.$$

DEFINITION 4.2. For a closed ideal I of X the zero-set of I is defined by $Z(I) = \bigcap_{f \in I} f^{-1}(\{0\})$ with $f^{-1}(\{0\}) = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^2 \mid f(x) = 0\}$.

We note that $x \in Z(I)$ if and only if $f(x) = 0$ for all $f \in I$. Moreover, $Z(I)$ is a closed subset of X whenever I is a closed ideal in X . In fact, if $f \in X$, then f is continuous on \mathbf{R}^n and thus $f^{-1}(\{0\})$ is a closed subset of \mathbf{R}^n .

The following lemma seems to be known to many people, but for the reader's convenience, we give the proof. We will write $f|_E = 0$ if $f(x) = 0$ for all $x \in E$.

LEMMA 4.3. *Let E be a closed subset of \mathbf{R}^n . Then*

$$I(E) = \{f \in X \mid f|_E = 0\}$$

is a closed ideal in X with $E = Z(I(E))$.

PROOF. We give the proof only for the case $X = M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$; the other case is similar. It is clear that $I(E)$ is an ideal in $M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$. To see that $I(E)$ is closed, let $f \in M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset I(E)$ and $\|f_n - f\|_{M_s^{p,1}} \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$). Since

$$\|f_n - f\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \|f_n - f\|_{M^{\infty,1}} \lesssim \|f_n - f\|_{M^{p,1}} \lesssim \|f_n - f\|_{M_s^{p,1}},$$

we see that $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converges pointwise to f on \mathbf{R}^n . Since $f_n|_E = 0$, we have $f|_E = 0$, and thus $f \in I(E)$. Hence $I(E)$ is closed. Next we prove $E = Z(I(E))$. Since $E \subset Z(I(E))$ is clear, we show $Z(I(E)) \subset E$. Suppose $x_0 \notin E$. Since E is closed and $C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n) \subset M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, there exists $f \in M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ such that $f(x_0) = 1$ and $f|_E = 0$. Then $f \in I(E)$ and $f(x_0) \neq 0$. Thus $x_0 \notin Z(I(E))$, which implies the desired result. \square

We also prepare the following lemma, which also characterises closed ideals in $M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$. We will call a subspace M of $M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is modulation invariant if $M_\eta f(x) = e^{i\eta x} f(x) \in M$ whenever $f \in M$ and $\eta \in \mathbf{R}^n$.

LEMMA 4.4. *Given $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $s \geq 0$. Then for any closed ideal I in $M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, one has:*

(i) If $g \in M_{-s}^{p',\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ satisfies

$$(4) \quad \iint_{\mathbf{R}^{2n}} V_\phi f(x, \xi) \overline{V_\psi g(x, \xi)} dx d\xi = 0 \quad \text{for all } f \in I$$

for any pair $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ with $\langle \psi, \phi \rangle \neq 0$, if and only if

$$(5) \quad \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \left[V_\phi f(x, \cdot) * V_{\bar{\psi}} \bar{g}(x, \cdot) \right](\xi) dx = 0 \quad \text{for all } f \in I, \xi \in \mathbf{R}^n$$

for any pair $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ with $\langle \psi, \phi \rangle \neq 0$.

(ii) Every closed ideal in $M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ coincides with a closed modulation invariant subspace of $M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$.

PROOF. We first assume that (5) holds. Then we have

$$0 = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \left[V_\phi f(x, \cdot) * V_{\bar{\psi}} \bar{g}(x, \cdot) \right](\xi) dx = (2\pi)^n \langle \psi, \phi \rangle \langle M_{-\xi} f, g \rangle$$

for all $f \in I$, $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^n$ and $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ with $\langle \psi, \phi \rangle \neq 0$, and thus $\langle f, g \rangle = 0$. Hence

$$\iint_{\mathbf{R}^{2n}} V_\phi f(x, \xi) \overline{V_\psi g(x, \xi)} dx d\xi = (2\pi)^{-n} \langle \psi, \phi \rangle \langle f, g \rangle = 0,$$

which gives (4).

Next we assume that (4) holds. We note that Lemma 2.5 implies that $f\bar{g} \in M_{-s}^{1,\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n) \hookrightarrow M_{-s}^{p',\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ for all $f \in I$. Then for all $h \in M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ with $\langle \psi, \phi \rangle \neq 0$, we have

$$\langle \psi, \phi \rangle \langle f\bar{g}, h \rangle = \langle \psi, \phi \rangle \langle f\bar{h}, g \rangle = (2\pi)^{-n} \langle V_\phi(f\bar{h}), V_\psi g \rangle.$$

On the other hand, since $f \in I$ and I is a closed ideal in $M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, we see that $f\bar{h} \in I$. Therefore, (4) implies that $\langle V_\phi(f\bar{h}), V_\psi g \rangle = 0$, and thus $\langle f\bar{g}, h \rangle = 0$. By the duality, we obtain $f\bar{g} = 0$. Hence, we obtain for $x, \xi \in \mathbf{R}^n$ that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= V_{\phi\bar{\psi}}(f\bar{g})(x, \xi) \\ &= (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} V_\phi f(x, \xi - \eta) \overline{V_\psi g(x, \eta)} d\eta \\ &= (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} V_\phi(M_{-\xi} f)(x, -\eta) \overline{V_\psi g(x, -\eta)} d\eta, \end{aligned}$$

and thus $\langle \psi, \phi \rangle \langle M_{-\xi} f, g \rangle = 0$, which implies (5).

Finally, we give the characterization of closed ideals in $M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Let I be a closed ideal in $M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$. We first note that if $g \in M_{-s}^{p',\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n)$

satisfies (5), then

$$(6) \quad 0 = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} [V_\phi f(x, \cdot) * V_{\bar{\psi}} \bar{g}(x, \cdot)](\xi) dx = \langle V_\phi(M_{-\xi} f), V_{\bar{\psi}} g \rangle$$

holds for all $f \in I$, $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^n$ and $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ with $\langle \psi, \phi \rangle \neq 0$. Suppose that I is not modulation invariant. Then there exist $f_0 \in I$ and $\eta_0 \in \mathbf{R}^n$ such that $M_{-\eta_0} f_0 \notin I$. On the other hand, we note that $(M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n))' = M_{-s}^{p',\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, i.e., for any $\ell \in (M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n))'$ and $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ with $\langle \psi, \phi \rangle = 0$, there exists $g \in M_{-s}^{p',\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ such that

$$\ell(f) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n \langle \psi, \phi \rangle} \langle V_\phi f, V_{\bar{\psi}} g \rangle.$$

Therefore, the Hahn-Banach theorem and the duality imply that there exists $g_0 \in M_{-s}^{p',\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ such that

$$\langle f, g_0 \rangle = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n \langle \psi, \phi \rangle} \langle V_\phi f, V_{\bar{\psi}} g_0 \rangle = 0$$

for all $f \in I$, and

$$\langle M_{-\eta} f, g_0 \rangle = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n \langle \psi, \phi \rangle} \langle V_\phi(M_{-\eta} f), V_{\bar{\psi}} g_0 \rangle = 1.$$

The above two equalities imply that g_0 satisfies (5) but not (6), which yields a contradiction.

Conversely, we assume that I is a closed modulation invariant subspace of $M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$. If I is not an ideal in $M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, then there exist $f_0 \in I$ and $h_0 \in M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ such that $f_0 \bar{h}_0 \notin I$. The Hahn-Banach theorem and the duality imply that there exists $g_0 \in M_{-s}^{p',\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ such that

$$(7) \quad \langle f, g_0 \rangle = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n \langle \psi, \phi \bar{\phi} \rangle} \langle V_{\phi \bar{\phi}} f, V_{\bar{\psi}} g_0 \rangle = 0$$

for all $f \in I$, and

$$(8) \quad \langle f_0 \bar{h}_0, g_0 \rangle = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n \langle \psi, \phi \bar{\phi} \rangle} \langle V_{\phi \bar{\phi}}(f_0 \bar{h}_0), V_{\bar{\psi}} g_0 \rangle = 1.$$

We note that

$$V_{\phi \bar{\phi}}(f_0 \bar{h}_0)(x, \xi) = (2\pi)^{-n} [V_\phi f_0(x, \cdot) * V_{\bar{\phi}} \bar{h}_0(x, \cdot)](\xi)$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \langle V_{\phi \bar{\phi}}(f_0 \bar{h}_0), V_{\bar{\psi}} g_0 \rangle &= (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} [(V_\phi f_0(x, \cdot) * V_{\bar{\phi}} \bar{h}_0(x, \cdot)) * V_{\bar{\psi}} g_0(x, \cdot)](0) dx \\ &= (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} [(V_\phi f_0(x, \cdot) * V_{\bar{\psi}} g_0(x, \cdot)) * V_{\bar{\phi}} \bar{h}_0(x, \cdot)](0) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover for all $x, \xi \in \mathbf{R}^n$, we have by the Parseval identity that

$$\begin{aligned}
& [V_\phi f_0(x, \cdot) * V_{\bar{\psi}} \bar{g}_0(x, \cdot)](\xi) \\
&= \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} V_\phi(M_{-\xi} f_0)(x, \eta) \overline{V_{\bar{\psi}} g_0(x, \eta)} d\eta \\
&= \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \mathcal{F}_{y \rightarrow \eta}[(M_{-\xi} f_0)(y) \cdot \overline{\phi(y-x)}](\eta) \overline{\mathcal{F}_{y \rightarrow \eta}[g_0(y) \bar{\psi}(y-x)](\eta)} d\eta \\
&= (2\pi)^n \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (M_{-\xi} f_0)(y) \cdot \overline{\phi(y-x)} \overline{g_0(y) \bar{\psi}(y-x)} dy \\
&= \langle M_{-\xi} f_0 \cdot T_x(\bar{\phi}\psi), g_0 \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Since I is modulation invariant, we have $M_{-\xi} f_0 \in I$, and thus $M_{-\xi} f_0 \cdot T_x(\bar{\phi}\psi) \in I$ by $T_x(\bar{\phi}\psi) \in M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Therefore we have by (7)

$$[V_\phi f_0(x, \cdot) * V_{\bar{\psi}} \bar{g}_0(x, \cdot)](\xi) = 0.$$

Hence $\langle V_{\bar{\phi}}(f_0 \bar{h}_0), V_\psi g_0 \rangle = 0$, which contradicts (8). □

5. WIENER-LÉVY THEOREM FOR $M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$

In this section, we consider the Wiener-Lévy theorem for $M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ (cf. [31, Theorem 1.3.1]). More precisely, we show the following result.

THEOREM 5.1. *Given $1 \leq p < \infty$, $s \geq 0$, $f \in M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and a compact subset $K \subset \mathbf{R}^n$. Suppose that F is an analytic function on a neighborhood of $f(K) = \{f(x) \mid x \in K\}$ in \mathbf{C} . Then there exists $g \in M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ such that $g(x) = F(f(x))$ for all $x \in K$.*

To prove Theorem 5.1, we prepare the following lemmas.

LEMMA 5.2. *Let $s \geq 0$, $f, h \in M_s^{1,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and $x_0 \in \mathbf{R}^n$. We set*

$$H_{x_0}^\lambda(x) = \left(f\left(x_0 + \frac{x}{\lambda}\right) - f(x_0) \right) h(x), \quad \text{for } \lambda > 0.$$

Then we have

$$(9) \quad \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \|H_{x_0}^\lambda\|_{M_s^{1,1}} = 0.$$

PROOF. With $g_0(t) = e^{-\frac{|t|^2}{2}}$ we have by (1) in Section 2.1 for $\lambda > 1$

$$\begin{aligned}
V_{g_0}(H_{x_0}^\lambda)(x, \xi) &= (2\pi)^{-n} e^{ix\xi} V_{\widehat{g}_0}(H_{x_0}^\lambda)^\wedge(\xi, -x) \\
&= (2\pi)^{-n} ((H_{x_0}^\lambda)^\wedge * M_{-x}(\widehat{g}_0)^*)(\xi) \\
&= (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} ((H_{x_0}^\lambda)^\wedge * M_{-x} \widehat{g}_0)(\xi).
\end{aligned}$$

We note that

$$\left(f\left(x_0 + \frac{\cdot}{\lambda}\right)\right)^\wedge(\eta) = (T_{\lambda x_0} D_\lambda f)^\wedge(\eta) = \lambda^n e^{i\lambda x_0 \eta} \widehat{f}(\lambda \eta)$$

with $D_\lambda f(\eta) = f\left(\frac{\eta}{\lambda}\right)$. Moreover, since $f, \widehat{f} \in M^{1,1}(\mathbf{R}^n) \hookrightarrow L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$, we have by the Fourier inversion formula that

$$f(x_0) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \lambda^n e^{i\lambda x_0 \eta} \widehat{f}(\lambda \eta) d\eta.$$

Thus, by putting $H(x, \xi) = [\widehat{h} * M_{-x} g_0](\xi)$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & ((H_{x_0}^\lambda)^\wedge * M_{-x} g_0)(\xi) \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \left[\left(f\left(x_0 + \frac{\cdot}{\lambda}\right)\right)^\wedge * \widehat{h} * M_{-x} g_0 \right](\xi) - f(x_0) [\widehat{h} * M_{-x} g_0](\xi) \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \lambda^n e^{i\lambda x_0 \eta} \widehat{f}(\lambda \eta) \left(H(x, \xi - \eta) - H(x, \xi) \right) d\eta \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} e^{ix_0 \eta} \widehat{f}(\eta) \left(H\left(x, \xi - \frac{\eta}{\lambda}\right) - H(x, \xi) \right) d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have by the Fubini Theorem that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|H_{x_0}^\lambda\|_{M_s^{1,1}} \\ & \lesssim \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |\widehat{f}(\eta)| \left(\iint_{\mathbf{R}^{2n}} \langle \xi \rangle^s \left| H\left(x, \xi - \frac{\eta}{\lambda}\right) - H(x, \xi) \right| dx d\xi \right) d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by the submultiplicity of $\langle \cdot \rangle^s$ for $s \geq 0$, one has

$$\langle \xi \rangle^s \lesssim \left\langle \xi - \frac{\eta}{\lambda} \right\rangle^s \left\langle \frac{\eta}{\lambda} \right\rangle^s \quad \text{and} \quad \left\langle \frac{\eta}{\lambda} \right\rangle \approx 1 + \left| \frac{\eta}{\lambda} \right| \leq 1 + |\eta| \approx \langle \eta \rangle$$

for large λ , and thus

$$|\widehat{f}(\eta)| \iint_{\mathbf{R}^{2n}} \langle \xi \rangle^s \left| H\left(x, \xi - \frac{\eta}{\lambda}\right) - H(x, \xi) \right| dx d\xi \lesssim \langle \eta \rangle^s |\widehat{f}(\eta)| \|h\|_{M_s^{1,1}}.$$

Assume now that (9) is not valid, i.e., there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that for some sequence $\{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n = \infty$, one has $\|H_{x_0}^{\lambda_n}\|_{M_s^{1,1}} \geq \varepsilon_0$. But this is not possible since the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem implies that $\|H_{x_0}^{\lambda_n}\|_{M_s^{1,1}} \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$). This implies (9). \square

LEMMA 5.3. *Given $1 \leq p < \infty$, $s \geq 0$, $f \in M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, $\tau \in C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and $x_0 \in \mathbf{R}^n$. For $\lambda > 0$, we define*

$$G_{x_0}^\lambda(x) = \left(f\left(x_0 + \frac{x}{\lambda}\right) - f(x_0)\right) \tau(x).$$

Then we have

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \|G_{x_0}^\lambda\|_{M_s^{p,1}} = 0.$$

PROOF. Suppose that $\lambda > 0$ and $\text{supp } \tau \subset B_\lambda(0)$. Take $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ such that $\psi(x) = 1$ on $B_2(x_0)$. Since $\psi(x_0) = 1$ and $\psi(x_0 + \frac{x}{\lambda}) = 1$ on $\text{supp } \tau$, we see that

$$\left(f\left(x_0 + \frac{x}{\lambda}\right) - f(x_0)\right)\tau(x) = \left((f\psi)\left(x_0 + \frac{x}{\lambda}\right) - (f\psi)(x_0)\right)\tau(x)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$. On the other hand, Lemma 2.5 implies that

$$\|f\psi\|_{M_s^{1,1}} \lesssim \|f\|_{M_s^{\infty,1}} \|\psi\|_{M_s^{1,1}} \lesssim \|f\|_{M_s^{p,1}} \|\psi\|_{M_s^{1,1}},$$

and thus $f\psi \in M_s^{1,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Hence, it follows from Lemma 5.2 that

$$\|G_{x_0}^\lambda\|_{M_s^{p,1}} \lesssim \|G_{x_0}^\lambda\|_{M_s^{1,1}} = \left\| \left((f\psi)\left(x_0 + \frac{\cdot}{\lambda}\right) - (f\psi)(x_0) \right) \tau \right\|_{M_s^{1,1}} \rightarrow 0$$

as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$. \square

LEMMA 5.4. *Let f , K and F be as in Theorem 5.1, and $x_0 \in K$. Then there exists $g_{x_0} \in M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ such that $g_{x_0}(x) = F(f(x))$ on some neighborhood of x_0 .*

PROOF. Set $z_0 = f(x_0)$. Since F is analytic at z_0 , there exists $0 < \varepsilon_0 < 1$ such that $F(z)$ has the power series expansion

$$F(z) = F(z_0) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j (z - z_0)^j \quad (|z - z_0| < \varepsilon_0).$$

Let $\tau \in C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ be a function which is 1 near the origin. Then it follows from Lemma 5.3 that there exists $\lambda_{x_0} > 0$ such that $\|(f(x_0 + \frac{\cdot}{\lambda_{x_0}}) - f(x_0))\tau\|_{M_s^{p,1}} < \varepsilon_0/c$, where the constant c is as in Lemma 2.2 (iv). Thus we see that

$$b_{x_0}(x) = F(f(x_0))\tau(x) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j \left(\left(f\left(x_0 + \frac{x}{\lambda_{x_0}}\right) - f(x_0) \right) \tau(x) \right)^j$$

converges in $M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} g_{x_0}(x) &= b_{x_0}(\lambda_{x_0}(x - x_0)) \\ &= F(f(x_0))\tau_{x_0}(x) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j \left((f(x) - f(x_0))\tau_{x_0}(x) \right)^j \end{aligned}$$

is in $M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, where we denote $\tau_{x_0}(x) = \tau(\lambda_{x_0}(x - x_0))$. Moreover, since $g_{x_0}(x) = F(f(x))$ in some neighborhood of x_0 , we obtain the desired result. \square

Now we prove Theorem 5.1 by extending the local result above to the compact set K .

The proof of Theorem 5.1. We first note that by Lemma 5.4, we have for each $x_0 \in K$, there exist $\tau_{x_0} \in C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and a neighborhood U_{x_0} of x_0 such that g_{x_0} defined by

$$g_{x_0}(x) = F(f(x_0))\tau_{x_0}(x) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j ((f(x) - f(x_0))\tau_{x_0}(x))^j$$

satisfies $g_{x_0}(x) = F(f(x))$ on U_{x_0} . Since $K \subset \bigcup_{x_0 \in K} U_{x_0}$ and K is compact, there exist $\{x_j\}_{j=1}^N \subset K$ such that the corresponding neighborhoods $\{U_{x_j}\}_{j=1}^N$ cover K . Now we set $h_1(x) = \tau_{x_1}(x)$ and

$$h_j(x) = \tau_{x_j}(x)(1 - \tau_{x_1}(x)) \cdots (1 - \tau_{x_{j-1}}(x)) \quad (j = 2, 3, \dots, N).$$

Since $\tau_{x_j}(x) = 1$ and $g_{x_j}(x) = F(f(x))$ on U_{x_j} ($j = 1, \dots, N$), it is easily seen that $\sum_{j=1}^N h_j(x) = 1$ on K . Therefore $g \in M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ defined by $g(x) = \sum_{j=1}^N h_j(x)g_{x_j}(x)$ satisfies

$$g(x) = \sum_{j=1}^N h_j(x)F(f(x)) = F(f(x)) \sum_{j=1}^N h_j(x) = F(f(x)).$$

for all $x \in K$, which yields the desired result. \square

Under a mild extra condition on f respectively F , one can extend the local result to the following global result.

THEOREM 5.5. *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, $s \geq 0$, $f \in M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and F be analytic on an open neighborhood of $f(\mathbf{R}^n) \cup \{0\}$ with $F(0) = 0$. Then there exists $g \in M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ such that $g(x) = F(f(x))$.*

PROOF. Since F is analytic on a neighborhood of 0 with $F(0) = 0$, there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that $F(z)$ has the power series representation

$$F(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j z^j \quad (|z| < \varepsilon_0).$$

It follows from Theorem 3.1 that for any ε with $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$, there exists $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ such that

$$\|f - \phi f\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \|f - \phi f\|_{M_s^{p,1}} < \varepsilon/c,$$

where the constant c is as in Lemma 2.2 (iv). Now we set

$$g_0(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j (f(x) - \phi(x)f(x))^j.$$

Then we have $g_0 \in M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and $g_0(x) = F(f(x) - \phi(x)f(x)) = F(f(x))$ ($x \notin \text{supp } \phi$). On the other hand, let $\tau_0 \in C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ be such that $\tau_0(x) = 1$ on $\text{supp } \phi$. Then it follows from Theorem 5.1 that there

exists exists $g_1 \in M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ such that $g_1(x) = F(f(x))$ on $\text{supp } \tau_0$. Now we set

$$g(x) = (1 - \tau_0(x))g_0(x) + \tau_0(x)g_1(x).$$

We note that $g \in M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$. If $x \in \text{supp } \phi$, then $\tau_0(x) = 1$ and $g_1(x) = F(f(x))$, and thus $g(x) = F(f(x))$. Moreover, if $x \in \text{supp } \tau_0 \setminus \text{supp } \phi$, then $g_0(x) = F(f(x) - \phi(x)f(x)) = F(f(x))$ and $g_1(x) = F(f(x))$, and thus $g(x) = F(f(x))$. Finally, if $x \notin \text{supp } \tau_0$, then $\tau_0(x) = 0$ and $g_0(x) = F(f(x))$, and thus $g(x) = F(f(x))$. Hence we obtain the desired result. \square

As an application of Theorem 5.1, we obtain the following version of Wiener's general Tauberian theorem for $M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ (cf. [31, Theorem 1.4.1], [20, Ch VIII. 6.4]).

THEOREM 5.6. *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, $s \geq 0$, $f \in M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and I be a closed ideal in $M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$. If I is generated by one function f in $M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, then we have: $I = M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ if and only if $f(x) \neq 0$ ($x \in \mathbf{R}^n$).*

PROOF. Suppose that $I = M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Since I is the closed ideal generated by $f \in M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, we see that I is equal to the closure in $M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ of the set

$$\left\{ \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j \phi_j f \mid \lambda_j \in \mathbf{C}, \phi_j \in M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n), N \in \mathbf{N} \right\}.$$

If $f(x_0) = 0$ for some $x_0 \in \mathbf{R}^n$, then we have $g(x_0) = 0$ for all $g \in I$. Since $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n) \subset M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, this contradicts $I = M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$.

Conversely, suppose that $f(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$. Let K be a compact subset of \mathbf{R}^n and $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ be such that $\text{supp } \phi \subset K$. Since $F(z) = \frac{1}{z}$ is analytic on $\mathbf{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and $f(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in K$, it follows from Theorem 5.1 that there exists $g \in M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ such that $fg = 1$ on K . Since $f \in I$ and I is an ideal in $M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, we have $\phi = \phi g \cdot f \in I$. Moreover, since $C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is dense in $M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ (cf. Lemma 2.2 and Proposition 3.1), we obtain $I = M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$. \square

REMARK 5.7. By Lemma 4.4, the ideal I in Theorem 5.6 is a closed modulation invariant subspace of $M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Thus I is equal to the closure in $M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ of the set

$$A = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j e^{i\eta_j x} f(x) \mid \lambda_j \in \mathbf{C}, \eta_j \in \mathbf{R}^n, N \in \mathbf{N} \right\}.$$

As a corollary of Theorem 5.6, we also obtain a variant of Wiener's approximation theorem for the Wiener amalgam space $W(\mathcal{F}L^p, \ell_s^1)(\mathbf{R}^n)$ (cf. [31, Theorem 1.4.8]).

COROLLARY 5.8. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, $s \geq 0$ and $W(\mathcal{FL}^p, \ell_s^1)(\mathbf{R}^n)$ be the Wiener amalgam space consisting of all $\widehat{f} \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^n)$ such that $f \in M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ with the norm $\|\widehat{f}\|_{W(\mathcal{FL}^p, \ell_s^1)} = \|f\|_{M_s^{p,1}}$. Then for any $\widehat{f} \in W(\mathcal{FL}^p, \ell_s^1)(\mathbf{R}^n)$, we have that $f(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ if and only if the set

$$\mathcal{F}(A) = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j \widehat{f}(\xi - \eta_j) \mid \lambda_j \in \mathbf{C}, \eta_j \in \mathbf{R}^n, N \in \mathbf{N} \right\}$$

is dense in $W(\mathcal{FL}^p, \ell_s^1)(\mathbf{R}^n)$.

PROOF. Let $\widehat{f} \in W(\mathcal{FL}^p, \ell_s^1)(\mathbf{R}^n)$. We first assume that $f(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$. Then Remark 5.7 implies that for any $g \in W(\mathcal{FL}^p, \ell_s^1)(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^N \subset \mathbf{C}$ and $\{\eta_j\}_{j=1}^N \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ such that

$$\left\| \widehat{g} - \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j \widehat{f}(\xi - \eta_j) \right\|_{W(\mathcal{FL}^p, \ell_s^1)} = \left\| g - \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j e^{in_j x} f(x) \right\|_{M_s^{p,1}} < \varepsilon$$

holds. Therefore, $\mathcal{F}(A)$ is dense in $W(\mathcal{FL}^p, \ell_s^1)(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Conversely, we assume that $\mathcal{F}(A)$ is dense in $W(\mathcal{FL}^p, \ell_s^1)(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Then the set A in Remark 5.7 is dense in $M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Hence Theorem 5.6 implies that $f(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$. \square

REMARK 5.9. The same principles apply for the case of e.g. finitely many functions f_1, \dots, f_ℓ without common zero.

6. SET OF SPECTRAL SYNTHESIS FOR $M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$

In this section, we consider the set of spectral synthesis for $M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Throughout this section, X stands for $M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ ($1 \leq p < \infty$, $s \geq 0$) or $\mathcal{FL}_s^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ ($s \geq 0$).

DEFINITION 6.1. Let E be a closed subset of \mathbf{R}^n and $I(E)$ be the set defined in Lemma 4.3. Define $J(E)$ by the closed ideal in X generated by

$$J_0(E) = \{f \in X \mid f(x) = 0 \text{ in a neighborhood of } E\}.$$

Then E is called a *set of spectral synthesis* for X if $I(E) = J(E)$.

REMARK 6.2. We can easily see that E is a set of spectral synthesis for X if and only if $x + E$ is a set of spectral synthesis for X for some/any $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$.

In the following, we shall prove the following.

THEOREM 6.3. Let $1 \leq p \leq 2$, $s \geq 0$ and K be a compact subset of \mathbf{R}^n . Then K is a set of spectral synthesis for $M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ if and only if K is a set of spectral synthesis for $\mathcal{FL}_s^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$.

6.1. Technical lemmas. To prove Theorem 6.3, we use the “ideal theory for Segal algebras” developed in Reiter [30, Ch.6, §2] (see also [5], [31]). In the following, we denote by $(\mathcal{FL}_s^1)_c$ the space defined in Lemma 2.10.

LEMMA 6.4. *Let $s \geq 0$ and I be a closed ideal in $\mathcal{FL}_s^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Then I is the closure of $I \cap (\mathcal{FL}_s^1)_c$ in $\mathcal{FL}_s^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$.*

PROOF. Since $I \cap (\mathcal{FL}_s^1)_c \subset I$ and I is closed in $\mathcal{FL}_s^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$, the closure of $I \cap (\mathcal{FL}_s^1)_c$ in $\mathcal{FL}_s^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is contained in I . We next show that I is contained in the closure of $I \cap (\mathcal{FL}_s^1)_c$ in $\mathcal{FL}_s^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Let $f \in I$. Then we have by Lemma 2.8 that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ such that $\|f - \phi f\|_{\mathcal{FL}_s^1} < \varepsilon$ holds. Since I is an ideal in $\mathcal{FL}_s^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$, we have $\phi f \in I \cap (\mathcal{FL}_s^1)_c$. Hence we obtain the desired result. \square

LEMMA 6.5. *Let $1 \leq p \leq 2$ and $s \geq 0$. Suppose that I and I' are closed ideals in $\mathcal{FL}_s^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Then we have the following:*

- (i) $I \cap M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is a closed ideal in $M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$.
- (ii) If $I \cap M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n) = I' \cap M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, then we have $I = I'$.

PROOF. (i) We first prove that $I \cap M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is an ideal in $M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Let $f \in I \cap M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and $g \in M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Since $M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is a multiplication algebra, we see $fg \in M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Moreover, since $M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{FL}_s^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and I is an ideal in $\mathcal{FL}_s^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$, we have $fg \in I$. Hence we $fg \in I \cap M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ for any $f \in I \cap M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and $g \in M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, which implies the desired result. We next prove $I \cap M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is closed in $M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Let f be in the closure of $I \cap M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ in $M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Then, there exists $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset I \cap M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ such that $\|f_n - f\|_{M_s^{p,1}} \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$). We note that $M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{FL}_s^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$, and thus $\|f_n - f\|_{\mathcal{FL}_s^1} \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$). Since I is closed in $\mathcal{FL}_s^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and $M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is complete, we obtain $f \in I \cap M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, which implies the desired result.

(ii) Since $I \cap M_s^{p,1} \cap (\mathcal{FL}_s^1)_c = I' \cap M_s^{p,1} \cap (\mathcal{FL}_s^1)_c$ and $(\mathcal{FL}_s^1)_c \hookrightarrow M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, we have $I \cap (\mathcal{FL}_s^1)_c = I' \cap (\mathcal{FL}_s^1)_c$. Thus it follows from Lemma 6.4 that $I = I'$, which implies the desired result. \square

PROPOSITION 6.6. *Let $1 \leq p \leq 2$ and $s \geq 0$. Then for any closed ideal I_M in $M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, the ideal I_F in $\mathcal{FL}_s^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ defined by the closure of I_M in $\mathcal{FL}_s^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ satisfies $I_M = I_F \cap M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$.*

PROOF. Let I_M be a closed ideal in $M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and define I'_F by the closure of $I_M \cap (\mathcal{FL}_s^1)_c$ in $\mathcal{FL}_s^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Then I'_F is a closed ideal in $\mathcal{FL}_s^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$. To see I'_F is an ideal in $\mathcal{FL}_s^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$, let $f \in I'_F$ and $g \in \mathcal{FL}_s^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Then there exists $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset I_M \cap (\mathcal{FL}_s^1)_c$ such that $\|f - f_n\|_{\mathcal{FL}_s^1} \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$). Since $f_n \in (\mathcal{FL}_s^1)_c$, there exists $\psi_n \in C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ such that

$\psi_n(x) = 1$ on $\text{supp } f_n$. Then we have $\psi_n g \in (\mathcal{F}L_s^1)_c \hookrightarrow M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Therefore, $\psi_n g \cdot f_n \in I_M$, and thus $f_n g = f_n \cdot \psi_n g \in I_M \cap (\mathcal{F}L_s^1)_c$. Moreover, since

$$\|f g - f_n g\|_{\mathcal{F}L_s^1} \lesssim \|f - f_n\|_{\mathcal{F}L_s^1} \|g\|_{\mathcal{F}L_s^1} \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty),$$

we have $f g \in I'_F$. Hence, I'_F is an ideal in $\mathcal{F}L_s^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$.

Next, we prove that I_F is equal to I'_F . Let $f \in I_F$. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $g \in I_M$ such that $\|f - g\|_{\mathcal{F}L_s^1} < \varepsilon$. On the other hand, Theorem 3.1 implies that there exists $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ such that $\|g - \phi g\|_{M_s^{p,1}} < \varepsilon$. Hence we have $\phi g \in I_M \subset M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}L_s^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Hence we obtain $\phi g \in I_M \cap (\mathcal{F}L_s^1)_c$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - \phi g\|_{\mathcal{F}L_s^1} &\leq \|f - g\|_{\mathcal{F}L_s^1} + \|\phi g - g\|_{\mathcal{F}L_s^1} \\ &\lesssim \|f - g\|_{\mathcal{F}L_s^1} + \|\phi g - g\|_{M_s^{p,1}} \lesssim \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

which yields $I_F \subset I'_F$. The reverse inclusion is clear.

Finally, we prove $I_M = I_F \cap M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Since $I_M \subset I_F$ and $I_M \subset M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, we see that $I_M = I_M \cap M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n) \subset I_F \cap M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$. On the other hand, let $f \in I_F \cap M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. By Theorem 3.1, there exists $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ such that $\|f - \phi f\|_{M_s^{p,1}} < \varepsilon$. Now we take $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ with $\varphi(x) = 1$ on $\text{supp } \phi$. Since $f \in I_F = I'_F$, there exists $h \in I_M \cap (\mathcal{F}L_s^1)_c$ such that $\|f - h\|_{\mathcal{F}L_s^1} < \frac{\varepsilon}{\|\varphi\|_{M_s^{p,1}} \|\phi\|_{\mathcal{F}L_s^1}}$. Then we have $\phi h \in I_M$. Since $\mathcal{F}L_s^1(\mathbf{R}^n) \hookrightarrow M_s^{\infty,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ (see the proof of Lemma 2.10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - \phi h\|_{M_s^{p,1}} &\leq \|f - \phi f\|_{M_s^{p,1}} + \|\varphi \phi(f - h)\|_{M_s^{p,1}} \\ &\lesssim \|f - \phi f\|_{M_s^{p,1}} + \|\varphi\|_{M_s^{p,1}} \|\phi(f - h)\|_{M_s^{\infty,1}} \\ &\lesssim \|f - \phi f\|_{M_s^{p,1}} + \|\varphi\|_{M_s^{p,1}} \|\phi(f - h)\|_{\mathcal{F}L_s^1} \\ &\lesssim \|f - \phi f\|_{M_s^{p,1}} + \|\varphi\|_{M_s^{p,1}} \|\phi\|_{\mathcal{F}L_s^1} \|f - h\|_{\mathcal{F}L_s^1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore f is in the closure of I_M in $M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Since I_M is closed in $M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, we get the desired result. \square

REMARK 6.7. Let I_M and I'_M be closed ideals in $M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, and I_F be the closure of I_M in $\mathcal{F}L_s^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$. If the closure of I'_M in $\mathcal{F}L_s^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is equal to I_F , then Proposition 6.6 implies that $I_M = I'_M$.

Combining Lemma 6.5 and Proposition 6.6, we obtain the following result.

THEOREM 6.8 (The ideal theory for Segal algebras). *Let $1 \leq p \leq 2$, $s \geq 0$, \mathcal{I}_F be the set of all closed ideals in $\mathcal{F}L_s^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$, and \mathcal{I}_M be the*

set of all closed ideals in $M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Define the map $\iota : \mathcal{I}_F \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_M$ by $\iota(I_F) = I_F \cap M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Then ι is bijective. More precisely, we have

$$\iota^{-1}(I_M) = \overline{I_M}^{\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{FL}_s^1}} \quad (I_M \in \mathcal{I}_M)$$

and

$$\iota(\overline{I_M}^{\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{FL}_s^1}}) = \overline{I_M}^{\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{FL}_s^1}} \cap M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n) = I_M,$$

where $\overline{I_M}^{\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{FL}_s^1}}$ denotes the closure of I_M in $\mathcal{FL}_s^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$.

6.2. The proof of Theorem 6.3. For a closed subset K of \mathbf{R}^n , we set $I_F(K) = \{f \in \mathcal{FL}_s^1(\mathbf{R}^n) \mid f|_K = 0\}$ and $I_M(K) = \{f \in M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n) \mid f|_K = 0\}$. Moreover, we define $J_F(K)$ by the closure of $\{f \in \mathcal{FL}_s^1(\mathbf{R}^n) \mid f(x) = 0 \text{ in a neighborhood of } K\}$ in $\mathcal{FL}_s^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$, and $J_M(K)$ by the closure of $\{f \in M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n) \mid f(x) = 0 \text{ in a neighborhood of } K\}$ in $M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$. We note that $J_F(K)$ is the smallest closed ideal I in $\mathcal{FL}_s^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ such that $\bigcap_{f \in I} f^{-1}(\{0\}) = K$ (cf. [31, Proposition 2.4.5]). Similarly, $J_M(K)$ is the smallest closed ideal I in $M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ such that $\bigcap_{f \in I} f^{-1}(\{0\}) = K$ (cf. Theorems 5.1 and 5.5). Thus Proposition 6.6 implies that $I_F(K) = J_M(K)$ if and only if $I_F(K) = J_F(K)$. Therefore, K is a set of spectral synthesis for $M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ if and only if K is a set of spectral synthesis for $\mathcal{FL}_s^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$.

6.3. Examples. As an application of Theorem 6.3, we show some concrete examples of sets of spectral synthesis for $M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$.

EXAMPLE 6.9 (cf. [31, Theorem 2.7.6]). Let $1 \leq p \leq 2$. Then a circle in \mathbf{R}^2 is a set of spectral synthesis for $M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^2)$ if $0 \leq s < \frac{1}{2}$, but not if $s \geq \frac{1}{2}$.

EXAMPLE 6.10 (cf. [31, Theorem 2.7.7]). Let $1 \leq p \leq 2$ and $s \geq 0$. Then the sphere $S^{n-1} \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ is not a set of spectral synthesis for $M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ if $n \geq 3$.

EXAMPLE 6.11 (cf. [31, Theorem 2.7.9]). Let $1 \leq p \leq 2$. Single points of \mathbf{R}^n are sets of spectral synthesis for $M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, if $0 \leq s < 1$.

EXAMPLE 6.12 (cf. [31, Theorem 2.7.10]). Let $1 \leq p \leq 2$ and $s \geq 0$. Then a closed ball in \mathbf{R}^n is a set of spectral synthesis for $M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and so is the complement of an open ball in \mathbf{R}^n .

7. SPECTRAL SYNTHESIS REVISITED

As mentioned in Section 6.3, if $1 \leq p \leq 2$ and $0 \leq s < 1$, then single points of \mathbf{R}^n are sets of spectral synthesis for $M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$. In this section we will prove it directly without using Theorem 6.3, and this is also true for $p > 2$.

THEOREM 7.1. *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, $0 \leq s < 1$ and $x_0 \in \mathbf{R}^n$. Then $\{x_0\}$ is a set of spectral synthesis in $M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$.*

7.1. Technical Lemma.

LEMMA 7.2. *For any $t_0 \in \mathbf{R}^n$ and $R > 0$, there exist $\psi^{(1)}, \psi^{(2)} \in C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ such that $\psi = \psi^{(1)} * \psi^{(2)}$ satisfies $\psi(x) = 1$ on $B_R(t_0)$ and $\text{supp } \psi \subset B_{5R}(t_0)$.*

PROOF. Let $g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ be such that $g(x) \geq 0$ ($x \in \mathbf{R}^n$), $g(x) = 1$ on $B_R(0)$ and $\text{supp } g \subset B_{2R}(0)$. Define $\psi^{(1)}, \psi^{(2)} \in C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ by

$$\psi^{(1)}(x) = g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi^{(2)}(x) = \frac{2^n}{\|g\|_{L^1}} g(2(x - t_0)),$$

respectively, and set $\psi = \psi^{(1)} * \psi^{(2)}$. Then ψ satisfies the desired conditions. Indeed, we note that

$$\psi(x) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \psi^{(1)}(x - y) \psi^{(2)}(y) dy = \frac{2^n}{\|g\|_{L^1}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} g\left(\frac{x - y}{2}\right) g(2(y - t_0)) dy.$$

Moreover, if $x \in B_R(t_0)$ and $|2(y - t_0)| \leq 2R$, then we have $\frac{|x - y|}{2} \leq \frac{|x - t_0|}{2} + \frac{|t_0 - y|}{2} \leq R$, and thus $g(\frac{x - y}{2}) = 1$. Therefore, we obtain

$$\psi(x) = (\psi^{(1)} * \psi^{(2)})(x) = \frac{2^n}{\|g\|_1} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} g(2(y - t_0)) dy = 1$$

on $B_R(t_0)$. Also we have $\text{supp } \psi^{(1)} \subset \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n \mid |x| \leq 4R\}$ and $\text{supp } \psi^{(2)} \subset \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n \mid |x - t_0| \leq R\}$, and thus we see $\text{supp } \psi \subset \text{supp } \psi^{(1)} + \text{supp } \psi^{(2)} \subset \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n \mid |x - t_0| \leq 5R\}$. \square

LEMMA 7.3. *Let $\psi^{(1)}, \psi^{(2)} \in C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ be such that $\text{supp } \psi^{(j)} \subset B_R(0)$ for some $R > 0$ ($j = 1, 2$). Define $\psi = \psi^{(1)} * \psi^{(2)}$. Then we have for $0 \leq s < 1$ and $\vartheta \in \mathbf{R}^n$*

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \langle \xi \rangle^s |\widehat{\psi}(\xi - \vartheta) - \widehat{\psi}(\xi)| d\xi \leq C_\psi |\vartheta|^s \left(\max_{|t| \leq R} |e^{i\vartheta t} - 1| \right)^{1-s}.$$

PROOF. We first note that since $\widehat{\psi} = \widehat{\psi^{(1)}} \cdot \widehat{\psi^{(2)}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \widehat{\psi}(\xi - \vartheta) - \widehat{\psi}(\xi) \\ &= (\widehat{\psi^{(1)}}(\xi - \vartheta) - \widehat{\psi^{(1)}}(\xi)) \widehat{\psi^{(2)}}(\xi - \vartheta) + \widehat{\psi^{(1)}}(\xi) (\widehat{\psi^{(2)}}(\xi - \vartheta) - \widehat{\psi^{(2)}}(\xi)). \end{aligned}$$

Then it follows from the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the Plancherel theorem that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \langle \xi \rangle^s |\widehat{\psi}(\xi - \vartheta) - \widehat{\psi}(\xi)| d\xi \\
& \leq \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |\widehat{\psi^{(1)}}(\xi - \vartheta) - \widehat{\psi^{(1)}}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \langle \xi \rangle^{2s} |\widehat{\psi^{(2)}}(\xi - \vartheta)|^2 d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \quad + \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |\widehat{\psi^{(2)}}(\xi - \vartheta) - \widehat{\psi^{(2)}}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \langle \xi \rangle^{2s} |\widehat{\psi^{(1)}}(\xi - \vartheta)|^2 d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& = \|\mathcal{F}_{x \rightarrow \xi}[(e^{i\vartheta x} - 1)\psi^{(1)}(x)](\xi)\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}_\xi^n)} \langle \vartheta \rangle^s \|\langle \xi \rangle^s \widehat{\psi^{(2)}}(\xi)\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}_\xi^n)} \\
& \quad + \|\mathcal{F}_{x \rightarrow \xi}[(e^{i\vartheta x} - 1)\psi^{(2)}(x)](\xi)\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}_\xi^n)} \langle \vartheta \rangle^s \|\langle \xi \rangle^s \widehat{\psi^{(1)}}(\xi)\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}_\xi^n)}.
\end{aligned}$$

We note that $|e^{i\vartheta x} - 1| \leq \min\{2, |\vartheta x|\}$, and for $j = 1, 2$, it follows from the Plancherel Theorem that

$$\|\mathcal{F}_{x \rightarrow \xi}[(e^{i\vartheta x} - 1)\psi^{(j)}(x)](\xi)\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}_\xi^n)} = (2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}} \|(e^{i\vartheta x} - 1)\psi^{(j)}(x)\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}_x^n)}.$$

Moreover, since $\text{supp } \psi^{(j)} \subset B_R(0)$ ($j = 1, 2$), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\mathcal{F}_{x \rightarrow \xi}[(e^{i\vartheta x} - 1)\psi^{(j)}(x)](\xi)\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}_\xi^n)} (1 + |\vartheta|^s) \\
& \lesssim \left(\max_{|x| \leq R} |e^{i\vartheta x} - 1| \right)^{1-s} \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (|e^{i\vartheta x} - 1|^s |\psi^{(j)}(x)|)^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (1 + |\vartheta|^s) \\
& \leq |\vartheta|^s \left(\max_{|x| \leq R} |e^{i\vartheta x} - 1| \right)^{1-s} \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (|x|^s |\psi^{(j)}(x)|)^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \quad + 2^s |\vartheta|^s \left(\max_{|x| \leq R} |e^{i\vartheta x} - 1| \right)^{1-s} \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |\psi^{(j)}(x)|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},
\end{aligned}$$

which yields the desired inequality. \square

Now we prepare a lemma, which corresponds to a weighted version of Bhimani-Ratnakumar [3, Proposition 3.14].

LEMMA 7.4. *Let $0 \leq s < 1$, $f \in M_s^{1,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, $x_0 \in \mathbf{R}^n$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exists $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ such that*

- (i) $\|(f - f(x_0))\phi\|_{M_s^{1,1}} < \varepsilon$
- (ii) $\phi(x) = 1$ in some neighborhood of x_0 .

PROOF. Take $\psi = \psi^{(1)} * \psi^{(2)} \in C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ as in Lemma 7.2 with $t_0 = 0$ and $R = 1$, i.e., $\psi(x) = 1$ on $B_1(0)$ and $\text{supp } \psi \subset B_5(0)$. Define $\psi_\lambda(x) = \psi(\lambda x)$ and $h^\lambda(x) = (f(x) - f(x_0))\psi_\lambda(x - x_0)$ for $\lambda > 0$. We note that if $\lambda > 5$ and $x \in \text{supp } h^\lambda$, then $\psi(x - x_0) = 1$. Thus $h^\lambda(x) = h^\lambda(x)\psi(x - x_0)$.

Without loss of generality, we may assume $x_0 = 0$ (see Remark 6.2). Let $g_0(t) = e^{-\frac{|t|^2}{2}}$ ($t \in \mathbf{R}^n$) and $\lambda > 5$. By (1) in Section 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|h^\lambda\|_{M_s^{1,1}} &= \| |\langle \xi \rangle|^s V_{g_0} h^\lambda(x, \xi) \|_{L^1(\mathbf{R}_x^n)} \|_{L^1(\mathbf{R}_\xi^n)} \\ &= (2\pi)^{-n} \| |\langle \xi \rangle|^s V_{\widehat{g_0}} \widehat{h^\lambda}(\xi, -x) \|_{L^1(\mathbf{R}_x^n)} \|_{L^1(\mathbf{R}_\xi^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\widehat{g_0} = (2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}} g_0$, $g_0^* = g_0$ and $h^\lambda(x) = h^\lambda(x)\psi(x)$, we obtain by (1) in Section 2.1

$$V_{\widehat{g_0}} \widehat{h^\lambda}(\xi, -x) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} V_{g_0} (\widehat{h^\lambda} * \widehat{\psi})(\xi, -x) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{ix\xi} (\widehat{h^\lambda} * \widehat{\psi} * M_{-x} g_0)(\xi).$$

Thus by the Fubini Theorem and the Minkowski inequality for integral that

$$\begin{aligned} \|h^\lambda\|_{M_s^{1,1}} &= (2\pi)^{-n} \| |\langle \xi \rangle|^s V_{\widehat{g_0}} \widehat{h^\lambda}(\xi, -x) \|_{L^1(\mathbf{R}_x^n)} \|_{L^1(\mathbf{R}_\xi^n)} \\ &\approx \| |\langle \xi \rangle|^s (\widehat{h^\lambda} * \widehat{\psi} * M_{-x} g_0)(\xi) \|_{L^1(\mathbf{R}_\xi^n)} \|_{L^1(\mathbf{R}_x^n)} \\ &\leq \| |\langle \xi \rangle|^s \widehat{h^\lambda}(\xi) \|_{L^1(\mathbf{R}_\xi^n)} \| |\langle \xi \rangle|^s (\widehat{\psi} * M_{-x} g_0)(\xi) \|_{L^1(\mathbf{R}_\xi^n)} \|_{L^1(\mathbf{R}_x^n)} \\ &= \| \langle \cdot \rangle^s \widehat{h^\lambda} \|_{L^1} \| \psi \|_{M_s^{1,1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\widehat{h^\lambda}(\zeta) = (2\pi)^{-n} (\widehat{\psi_\lambda} * \widehat{f})(\zeta) - f(0) \widehat{\psi_\lambda}(\zeta)$ and $\widehat{\psi_\lambda}(\zeta) = \frac{1}{\lambda^n} \widehat{\psi}\left(\frac{\zeta}{\lambda}\right)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{h^\lambda}(\zeta) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \widehat{f}(\eta) \widehat{\psi_\lambda}(\zeta - \eta) d\eta - \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \widehat{f}(\eta) d\eta \right) \widehat{\psi_\lambda}(\zeta) \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi\lambda)^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \widehat{f}(\eta) \left(\widehat{\psi}\left(\frac{\zeta - \eta}{\lambda}\right) - \widehat{\psi}\left(\frac{\zeta}{\lambda}\right) \right) d\eta \end{aligned}$$

and thus we obtain by Lemma 7.3 choosing $\vartheta = \frac{\xi}{\lambda}$ and $\lambda > 5$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \| \langle \cdot \rangle^s \widehat{h^\lambda} \|_{L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)} &\lesssim \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \langle \zeta \rangle^s \left| \widehat{\psi}\left(\frac{\zeta - \eta}{\lambda}\right) - \widehat{\psi}\left(\frac{\zeta}{\lambda}\right) \right| d\zeta \right) |\widehat{f}(\eta)| d\eta \\ &\lesssim \lambda^s \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \langle \xi \rangle^s \left| \widehat{\psi}\left(\xi - \frac{\eta}{\lambda}\right) - \widehat{\psi}(\xi) \right| d\xi \right) |\widehat{f}(\eta)| d\eta \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |\eta|^s \left(\max_{|t| \leq 4} |e^{i\frac{\eta}{\lambda} t} - 1| \right)^{1-s} |\widehat{f}(\eta)| d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

We note that $M_s^{1,1}(\mathbf{R}^n) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{FL}_s^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$, and also $(\max_{|t| \leq 4} |e^{i\frac{\eta}{\lambda} t} - 1|)^{1-s} \leq 2$ and $(\max_{|t| \leq 4} |e^{i\frac{\eta}{\lambda} t} - 1|)^{1-s} \rightarrow 0$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore the Lebesgue convergence theorem yields that $\| \langle \cdot \rangle^s \widehat{h^\lambda} \|_{L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)} \rightarrow 0$ ($\lambda \rightarrow \infty$). Therefore, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that $\|h^{\lambda_0}\|_{M_s^{1,1}} < \varepsilon$. Hence, by putting $\phi(x) = \psi_{\lambda_0}(x)$, we have the desired result. \square

Next we prepare a lemma, which corresponds to a weighted version of Bhimani [2, Proposition 4.7].

LEMMA 7.5. *Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $0 \leq s < 1$, $f \in M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, $x_0 \in \mathbf{R}^n$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exists $\tau \in C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ such that*

- (i) $\|(f - f(x_0))\tau\|_{M_s^{p,1}} < \varepsilon$
- (ii) $\tau(x) = 1$ in some neighborhood of x_0 .

PROOF. Let $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ be such that $\psi(x) = 1$ on some neighborhood of x_0 , and set $h(x) = (f(x) - f(x_0))\psi(x)$. We note that $h(x_0) = 0$. Since $h \in M_s^{1,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, Lemma 7.4 implies that there exists $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ such that $\|(h - h(x_0))\phi\|_{M_s^{1,1}} < \varepsilon$ and $\phi = 1$ on some neighborhood of x_0 . Now we define $\tau = \psi\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Then we see that $\tau = 1$ on some neighborhood of x_0 , and we have by $M_s^{1,1}(\mathbf{R}^n) \hookrightarrow M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$

$$\|(f - f(x_0))\tau\|_{M_s^{p,1}} = \|(h - h(x_0))\phi\|_{M_s^{p,1}} \lesssim \|(h - h(x_0))\phi\|_{M_s^{1,1}}.$$

Hence we obtain the desired result. \square

REMARK 7.6. Recall that $M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is a Banach algebra. Then it follows from Lemma 7.5 that $M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ satisfies the condition of Wiener-Ditkin (cf. [30, Ch.2, §4.3], [31, Definition 2.4.7]), i.e., for every point $x_0 \in \mathbf{R}^n$ the following holds: for any function $f \in M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ vanishing at x_0 and any neighborhood \mathcal{U}_0 of 0 in $M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, there exists $\tau \in M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ such that (i) τ is constant 1 near x_0 , and (ii) $f \cdot \tau \in \mathcal{U}_0$.

7.2. The proof of Theorem 7.1. We first note that $J_0(\{x_0\}) \subset I(\{x_0\})$. Since $J(\{x_0\})$ is the smallest closed ideal containing $J_0(\{x_0\})$, it follows from Lemma 4.3 that $J(\{x_0\}) \subset I(\{x_0\})$. We next prove $I(\{x_0\}) \subset J(\{x_0\})$. Since $I(\{x_0\})$ is an closed ideal with $J_0(\{x_0\}) \subset I(\{x_0\})$, it suffices to prove that $I(\{x_0\}) \subset \overline{J_0(\{x_0\})}$. Let $f \in I(\{x_0\})$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. We note that $f(x_0) = 0$. By Lemma 7.5, there exists $\tau \in C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ such that $\|\tau f\|_{M_s^{p,1}} < \varepsilon$ and $\tau(x) = 1$ on some neighborhood of x_0 . Now we set $g = (1 - \tau)f$. Then we have $g = f - \tau f \in M_s^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, $g(x) = 0$ on some neighborhood of x_0 and $\|f - g\|_{M_s^{p,1}} = \|\tau f\|_{M_s^{p,1}} < \varepsilon$. Hence we obtain the desired result.

8. INCLUSION RELATION BETWEEN $M^{p,1}$ AND \mathcal{FA}_p

In this section, we consider the inclusion relation between the modulation space $M^{p,1}(\mathbf{R})$ and the Fourier Segal algebra $\mathcal{FA}_p(\mathbf{R})$. Here, $\mathcal{FA}_p(\mathbf{R})$ is the space defined by the norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{FA}_p} = \|f\|_{L^p} + \|\widehat{f}\|_{L^1}.$$

We note that since $\mathcal{FA}_p(\mathbf{R})$ is the Fourier image of Segal algebra $A_p(\mathbf{R})$ which is defined by the norm

$$\|f\|_{A_p} = \|\widehat{f}\|_{L^p} + \|f\|_{L^1}$$

(see [30], [31], [39] for more details), $\mathcal{FA}_p(\mathbf{R})$ is a (abstract) Segal algebra in $A(\mathbf{R}) (= \mathcal{FL}_0^1(\mathbf{R}))$ in the following sense.

- (i) $\mathcal{FA}_p(\mathbf{R})$ is a commutative Banach algebra with pointwise multiplication and the norm $\|f\|_{\mathcal{FA}_p}$.
- (ii) $\mathcal{FA}_p(\mathbf{R})$ is a dense subset of the Fourier algebra $A(\mathbf{R})$.
- (iii) $\mathcal{FA}_p(\mathbf{R})$ is isometrically invariant under modulation operators:

$$\|M_\xi f\|_{\mathcal{FA}_p} = \|f\|_{\mathcal{FA}_p}, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbf{R}.$$

Moreover, $\mathcal{FA}_p(\mathbf{R})$ has similar properties to $A(\mathbf{R})$ (see [24], [25]). On the other hand, Lemmas 2.2, 2.7 and 2.9 imply that $M^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{FA}_p(\mathbf{R})$ for $1 \leq p \leq 2$. Therefore, it is natural to ask whether $M^{p,1}(\mathbf{R})$ is a proper subset of $\mathcal{FA}_p(\mathbf{R})$.

THEOREM 8.1. *For $1 \leq p \leq 2$ we have the proper, dense inclusion $M^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}) \subsetneq \mathcal{FA}_p(\mathbf{R})$.*

We remark that Losert [27, Theorem 2] implies that Theorem 8.1 holds for the case $p = 1$.

8.1. Technical lemma. To prove Theorem 8.1, we prepare the following lemma.

LEMMA 8.2. *Let K be a compact subset of \mathbf{R} . Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist a discrete measure $\mu \in M(\mathbf{R})$ and $m, N \in \mathbf{N}$ for which the following conditions hold.*

- (i) $\|\mu\|_{M(\mathbf{R})} = 1$ and $\|\widehat{\mu}\|_{L^\infty} < \varepsilon$.
- (ii) $\text{supp } \mu$ consists of 2^m different points and is expressed as

$$\text{supp } \mu = \left\{ x \in \mathbf{R} \mid x = \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_j N_j, \alpha_j = 0, 1, N_j = 2^{j-1} N \right\}.$$

- (iii) *The sets $\{-x + K \mid x \in \text{supp } \mu\}$ are mutually disjoint.*

PROOF. Our proof is based on the argument due to Kahane [19, p.34-36], which is also known as the Rudin-Shapiro method. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and take $m \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $2^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{m}{2}} < \varepsilon$. We choose $N \in \mathbf{N}$ such that the sets

$$\left\{ -\sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_j N_j + K \mid \alpha_j = 0, 1, N_j = 2^{j-1} N \right\}$$

are mutually disjoint for different choices of $\alpha_j \in \{0, 1\}$, and then define $\mu_j, \nu_j \in M(\mathbf{R})$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, m$) by $\mu_0 = \nu_0 = \delta_0$ and

$$\mu_j = \mu_{j-1} + \nu_{j-1} * \delta_{N_j}, \quad \nu_j = \mu_{j-1} - \nu_{j-1} * \delta_{N_j} \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, m).$$

We note that the set $\{ \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_j N_j \mid \alpha_j = 0, 1 \}$ consists of 2^m different points, and thus we obtain $\|\mu_m\|_{M(\mathbf{R})} = 2^m$. Moreover, it follows from

$$(\mu_{j-1} \pm \nu_{j-1} * \delta_{N_j})^\wedge(\xi) = \widehat{\mu_{j-1}}(\xi) \pm \widehat{\nu_{j-1}}(\xi) e^{-i\xi N_j} \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, m)$$

that

$$\begin{aligned} |\widehat{\mu_m}(\xi)|^2 + |\widehat{\nu_m}(\xi)|^2 &= 2(|\widehat{\mu_{m-1}}(\xi)|^2 + |\widehat{\nu_{m-1}}(\xi)|^2) \\ &= \dots \\ &= 2^m(|\widehat{\mu_0}(\xi)|^2 + |\widehat{\nu_0}(\xi)|^2) \\ &= 2^{m+1}. \end{aligned}$$

This gives

$$|\widehat{\mu_m}(\xi)| \leq (|\widehat{\mu_m}(\xi)|^2 + |\widehat{\nu_m}(\xi)|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 2^{\frac{m+1}{2}}.$$

Now we set $\mu = 2^{-m} \mu_m$. Then we can easily see $\|\mu\|_{M(\mathbf{R})} = 1$ and

$$\|\widehat{\mu}\|_{L^\infty} \leq 2^{-m} 2^{\frac{m+1}{2}} = 2^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{m}{2}} < \varepsilon.$$

Hence, we obtain the desired result. □

REMARK 8.3. We note that μ is also expressed as

$$\mu = 2^{-m} \sum_{\alpha_j=0,1} C_{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{2m})} \delta_{\alpha_1 N_1 + \alpha_2 N_2 + \dots + \alpha_{2m} N_{2m}},$$

where each term $C_{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{2m})}$ is equal to 1 or -1 . For the sake of simplicity, we simply write $\mu = \sum_{j=1}^{2^m} a_j \delta_{\ell(j)}$ with $\text{supp } \mu = \{\ell(j) \in \mathbf{N} \mid j = 1, 2, \dots, 2^m\}$, where $a_j = 2^{-m}$ or $a_j = -2^{-m}$.

REMARK 8.4. Let $1 \leq p < 2$ and choose $r \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $2^{\frac{1}{2} - r(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2})} < \varepsilon$. In the same way as above, we define $\nu \in M(\mathbf{R})$ by

$$\nu = 2^{-\frac{r}{p}} \mu_{m'} = \sum_{j=1}^{2^r} b_j \delta_{N(j)}.$$

Then we have $\sum_{j=1}^{2^r} |b_j|^p = 1$, $\|\widehat{\nu}\|_{L^\infty} < \varepsilon$ and the sets $\{-N(j) + K \mid N(j) \in \text{supp } \nu\}$ are mutually disjoint.

8.2. The proof of Theorem 8.1. (i) We first consider the case $1 \leq p < 2$. As mentioned at the beginning of Section 8, $M^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}) \subset \mathcal{FA}_p(\mathbf{R})$ holds. Let $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R}) \setminus \{0\}$ be such that $K = \text{supp } \phi \subset [-\frac{1}{10}, \frac{1}{10}]$. Then Lemma 8.2 yields that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\mu \in M(\mathbf{R})$ and $m \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $\|\mu\|_{M(\mathbf{R})} = 1$, $\|\widehat{\mu}\|_{L^\infty} < \varepsilon$ and

$$\text{supp } \mu = \{\ell(j) \in \mathbf{N} \mid j = 1, 2, \dots, 2^m\},$$

where the sets $\{-\ell(j) + K \mid \ell(j) \in \text{supp } \mu\}$ are mutually disjoint. It follows from Remark 8.3 that μ can be represented as $\mu = \sum_{j=1}^{2^m} a_j \delta_{\ell(j)}$ with $\ell(j) \in \text{supp } \mu$ and $a_j = 2^{-m}$ or $a_j = -2^{-m}$. Moreover, since $\widehat{\phi} \in L^p(\mathbf{R})$, we see that for any $\eta > 0$, there exists a compact subset $K_\eta = [-R, R] \subset \mathbf{R}$ for some $R > 0$ such that $\int_{\mathbf{R} \setminus K_\eta} |\widehat{\phi}(\xi)|^p d\xi < \eta$. Furthermore, we have by Remark 8.4 that there exist $r, N \in \mathbf{N}$ and $\nu = \sum_{j=1}^{2^r} b_j \delta_{N(j)} \in M(\mathbf{R})$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^{2^r} |b_j|^p = 1$, $\|\widehat{\nu}\|_{L^\infty} < \varepsilon$ and

$$\text{supp } \nu = \{N(j) \in \mathbf{N} \mid j = 1, 2, \dots, 2^r\},$$

where the sets $\{-N(j) + K_\eta \mid N(j) \in \text{supp } \nu\}$ are mutually disjoint, and $b_j = 2^{-\frac{r}{p}}$ or $b_j = -2^{-\frac{r}{p}}$.

Now we define f by $\widehat{f} = \mu * (\widehat{\nu}\phi)$.

Step 1. Firstly, we estimate $\|f\|_{M^{p,1}}$. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R})$ be such that $\sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \varphi(\xi - k) = 1$, $\text{supp } \varphi \subset [-1, 1]$ and $\varphi(\xi) = 1$ on $[-\frac{1}{10}, \frac{1}{10}]$. Since

$$\widehat{f}(\xi) = \left(\left(\sum_{j=1}^{2^m} a_j \delta_{\ell(j)} \right) * (\widehat{\nu}\phi) \right)(\xi) = \sum_{j=1}^{2^m} a_j (\widehat{\nu}\phi)(\xi - \ell(j)),$$

we have

$$\varphi(\xi - \ell(k)) \widehat{f}(\xi) = a_k (\widehat{\nu}\phi)(\xi - \ell(k))$$

and

$$\varphi(D - k)f(x) = \frac{a_k}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbf{R}} (\widehat{\nu}\phi)(\xi - \ell(k)) e^{ix\xi} d\xi = a_k e^{ix\ell(k)} \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\widehat{\nu}\phi)(x).$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\|\varphi(D - k)f\|_{L^p} = |a_k| \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\widehat{\nu}\phi)\|_{L^p} = |a_k| \|\nu * (\mathcal{F}^{-1}\phi)\|_{L^p}.$$

On the other hand, since $\nu = \sum_{j=1}^{2^r} b_j \delta_{N(j)}$, we have

$$\|\nu * (\mathcal{F}^{-1}\phi)\|_{L^p} = \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{2^r} b_j (\mathcal{F}^{-1}\phi)(\cdot - N(j)) \right\|_{L^p}.$$

Moreover, since $\{-N(j) + K_\eta\}$ are mutually disjoint and

$$\left| A + \sum_{j=1}^{2^r} B_j \right|^p \geq \frac{1}{2^p} |A|^p - \left| \sum_{j=1}^{2^r} B_j \right|^p \geq \frac{1}{2^p} |A|^p - 2^{rp} \sum_{j=1}^{2^r} |B_j|^p,$$

we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{j=1}^{2^r} b_j (\mathcal{F}^{-1}\phi)(x - N(j)) \right|^p \\ &= \left| \sum_{j=1}^{2^r} b_j \left((\chi_{K_\eta} \cdot (\mathcal{F}^{-1}\phi))(x - N(j)) + (\chi_{\mathbf{R} \setminus K_\eta} \cdot (\mathcal{F}^{-1}\phi))(x - N(j)) \right) \right|^p \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2^p} \sum_{j=1}^{2^r} |b_j|^p |(\chi_{K_\eta} \cdot (\mathcal{F}^{-1}\phi))(x - N(j))|^p \\ &\quad - 2^{rp} \sum_{j=1}^{2^r} |b_j|^p |(\chi_{\mathbf{R} \setminus K_\eta} \cdot (\mathcal{F}^{-1}\phi))(x - N(j))|^p. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sum_{j=1}^{2^r} |b_j|^p = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\nu * (\mathcal{F}^{-1}\phi)\|_{L^p}^p \\ &\geq \sum_{j=1}^{2^r} |b_j|^p \left(\frac{1}{2^p} \int_{\mathbf{R}} |(\chi_{K_\eta} \cdot (\mathcal{F}^{-1}\phi))(x - N(j))|^p dx \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 2^{rp} \int_{\mathbf{R}} |(\chi_{\mathbf{R} \setminus K_\eta} \cdot (\mathcal{F}^{-1}\phi))(x - N(j))|^p dx \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{2^r} |b_j|^p \left(\frac{1}{2^p} \int_{K_\eta} |\mathcal{F}^{-1}\phi(x)|^p dx - 2^{rp} \int_{\mathbf{R} \setminus K_\eta} |\mathcal{F}^{-1}\phi(x)|^p dx \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2^p} \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\phi\|_{L^p}^p - (1 + 2^{rp})\eta. \end{aligned}$$

Putting $\eta = \frac{1}{2^{p+1}(1+2^{rp})} \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\phi\|_{L^p}^p$, we obtain

$$\|\nu * (\mathcal{F}^{-1}\phi)\|_{L^p} \geq \frac{1}{2^{1+\frac{1}{p}}} \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\phi\|_{L^p}.$$

Hence we have by $\|\mu\|_{M(\mathbf{R})} = \sum_{k=1}^{2^m} |a_k| = 1$ that

$$\|f\|_{M^{p,1}} = \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \|\phi(D - k)f\|_{L^p} \geq \frac{1}{2^{1+\frac{1}{p}}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{2^m} |a_k| \right) \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\phi\|_{L^1} = \frac{1}{2^{1+\frac{1}{p}}} \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\phi\|_{L^1}.$$

Step 2. Secondly, we show that $\|\widehat{f}\|_{L^1} \leq \varepsilon \|\phi\|_{L^1}$. Since $\widehat{f} = \mu * (\widehat{\nu}\phi)$, $\|\widehat{\nu}\|_{L^\infty} < \varepsilon$ and $\mu = \sum_{j=1}^{2^m} a_j \delta_{\ell(j)}$ with $\|\mu\|_{M(\mathbf{R})} = \sum_{j=1}^{2^m} |a_j| = 1$, we obtain

$$\|\widehat{f}\|_{L^1} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{2^m} |a_j| \|(\widehat{\nu}\phi)(\cdot - \ell(j))\|_{L^1} \leq \|\widehat{\nu}\|_{L^\infty} \|\phi\|_{L^1} \sum_{j=1}^{2^m} |a_j| < \varepsilon \|\phi\|_{L^1}.$$

Step 3. Thirdly, we show that $\|f\|_{L^p} \leq \varepsilon \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\phi\|_{L^p}$. We note that

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mu * (\widehat{\nu}\phi))(x) \\ &= \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{2^m} a_j (\widehat{\nu}\phi)(\cdot - \ell(j))\right)(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{j=1}^{2^m} a_j \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}} \widehat{\nu}(\xi - \ell(j)) \phi(\xi - \ell(j)) e^{ix\xi} d\xi \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{2^m} a_j e^{ix\ell(j)} \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\widehat{\nu}\phi)(x) \\ &= (\widehat{\nu}(-x))((\mu * (\mathcal{F}^{-1}\phi))(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\|f\|_{L^p} \leq \|\widehat{\nu}\|_{L^\infty} \|\mu * (\mathcal{F}^{-1}\phi)\|_{L^p} \leq \|\widehat{\nu}\|_{L^\infty} \|\nu\|_{M(\mathbf{R})} \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\phi\|_{L^p} \leq \varepsilon \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\phi\|_{L^p}.$$

Step 4. We note that if $M^{p,1}(\mathbf{R}) = \mathcal{FA}_p(\mathbf{R})$ set-theoretically, then the closed graph theorem implies that the norms on both spaces are equivalent. Therefore we have

$$\frac{1}{2^{1+\frac{1}{p}}} \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\phi\|_{L^1} \leq \|f\|_{M^{p,1}} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{FA}_p(\mathbf{R})} = \|f\|_{L^p} + \|\widehat{f}\|_{L^1} \leq \varepsilon (\|\phi\|_{L^p} + \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\phi\|_{L^1}).$$

Since $\phi \neq 0$, this is impossible. Hence we obtain the desired result.

(ii) Finally, we consider the case $p = 2$. Set $I_k = [k - \frac{1}{(\log_e k)^2}, k + \frac{1}{(\log_e k)^2}]$ ($k \in \mathbf{N}$) and define f by $\widehat{f}(\xi) = \sum_{k=e^{10}}^{\infty} \frac{\chi_{I_k}(\xi)}{k}$, where χ_E denotes the characteristic function of a set $E \subset \mathbf{R}$, i.e., $\chi_E(x) = 1$ (if $x \in E$), $= 0$ (if $x \notin E$). Moreover, let $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R})$ be such that $\sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \varphi(\xi - k) = 1$ ($\xi \in \mathbf{R}$) and $\varphi(\xi) = 1$ on $[-\frac{1}{10}, \frac{1}{10}]$. Then we have

$$\|\widehat{f}\|_{L^1} \leq \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \|\varphi(\cdot - k) \widehat{f}\|_{L^1} \leq \sum_{k=e^{10}}^{\infty} \frac{2}{k(\log_e k)^2} < \infty$$

and

$$\|f\|_{L^2} \approx \|\widehat{f}\|_{L^2} = \left(\sum_{k=e^{10}}^{\infty} \frac{|I_k|}{k^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left(\sum_{k=e^{10}}^{\infty} \frac{2}{k^2 (\log_e k)^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty.$$

Therefore we obtain that $f \in \mathcal{FA}_2(\mathbf{R})$. On the other hand, it follows from the Plancherel theorem that

$$\|f\|_{M^{2,1}} \approx \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \|\varphi(\cdot - k) \widehat{f}\|_{L^2} = \sum_{k=e^{10}}^{\infty} \frac{\|\chi_{I_k}\|_{L^2}}{k} = \sum_{k=e^{10}}^{\infty} \frac{2}{k (\log_e k)} = \infty.$$

Thus we have $f \notin M^{2,1}(\mathbf{R})$. Hence we obtain $f \in \mathcal{FA}_2(\mathbf{R}) \setminus M^{2,1}(\mathbf{R})$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers 22K03328, 22K03331.

REFERENCES

- [1] Bényi, Á.; Okoudjou, K.A.: Modulation Spaces. With Applications to Pseudodifferential Operators and Nonlinear Schrödinger Equations. Applied and Numerical Harmonic Analysis. Birkhäuser/Springer, New York, (2020).
- [2] Bhimani, D.G.: Composition operators on Wiener amalgam spaces. Nagoya Math. J. 240, 257-274 (2020).
- [3] Bhimani, D.G.; Ratnakumar, P.K.: Functions operating on modulation spaces and nonlinear dispersive equations. J. Funct. Anal. 270, No. 2, 621-648 (2016).
- [4] Brandenburg, L. H.: On identifying the maximal ideals in Banach algebras. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 50, 489-510 (1975).
- [5] Burnham, J. T.: Closed ideals in subalgebras of Banach algebras. I. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 32, 551-555 (1972).
- [6] Cordero, E.; Okoudjou, K.A.: Dilation properties for weighted modulation spaces. J. Funct. Spaces Appl. 2012, Article ID 145491, 29 p. (2012).
- [7] Cordero, E.; Rodino, L.: Time-Frequency Analysis of Operators. De Gruyter Studies in Mathematics 75. Berlin: De Gruyter. xiv, 442 p. (2020).
- [8] Feichtinger, H.G.: On a new Segal algebra. Monatsh. Math. 92, 269-289 (1981).
- [9] Feichtinger, H.G.: Modulation spaces on locally compact abelian groups, in: M. Krishna, R. Radha and S. Thangavelu (Eds.), Wavelets and their Applications, Chennai, India, Allied Publishers, New Delhi, 2003, 99-140, Updated version of a technical report, University of Vienna, 1983.
- [10] H. G. Feichtinger. Banach spaces of distributions of Wiener's type and interpolation. In P. Butzer, S. Nagy, and E. Görlich, editors, *Proc. Conf. Functional Analysis and Approximation, Oberwolfach August 1980*, number 69 in Internat. Ser. Numer. Math., pages 153–165. Birkhäuser Boston, Basel, 1981.
- [11] Feichtinger, H.G.: A new family of functional spaces on the Euclidean n-space. Teor. Priblizh. Funkts. (1983).
- [12] Feichtinger, H.G.: Banach convolution algebras of Wiener's type. Functions, series, operators, Proc. int. Conf., Budapest 1980, Vol. I, Colloq. Math. Soc. Janos Bolyai 35, 509-524 (1983).

- [13] H. G. Feichtinger. Generalized amalgams, with applications to Fourier transform. *Canad. J. Math.*, 42(3):395–409, 1990.
- [14] Feichtinger, H.G.; Strohmer, T.: Gabor Analysis and Algorithms. Theory and Applications. Applied and Numerical Harmonic Analysis. Boston, MA: Birkhäuser. xvi, 496 p. (1998).
- [15] Gilbert, J. E.: On a strong form of spectral synthesis. *Ark. Mat.* 7, 571-575 (1969).
- [16] Gröbner, P.: Banachräume glatter Funktionen und Zerlegungsmethoden. PhDThesis (Dr.natw.)– Universität Wien (Austria) ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 1992. 99 pp.
- [17] Gröchenig, K.: Foundations of Time-Frequency Analysis. Applied and Numerical Harmonic Analysis. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, (2001).
- [18] Guo, W.; Fan, D.; Wu, H.; Zhao, G.: Sharp weighted convolution inequalities and some applications. *Stud. Math.* 241, No. 3, 201-239 (2018).
- [19] Kahane, J.-P.: Séries de Fourier Absolument Convergentes. *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete* 50. Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer-Verlag. VIII, 186 p. (1970).
- [20] Katznelson, Y.: An Introduction to Harmonic Analysis. 3rd ed. Cambridge Mathematical Library. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. xv, 314 p. (2004).
- [21] Kobayashi, M.; Sato, E.: Operating functions on modulation and Wiener amalgam spaces. *Nagoya Math. J.* 230, 72-82 (2018).
- [22] Kobayashi, M.; Sato, E.: Operating functions on $A_s^q(\mathbf{T})$. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* 28, No. 3, Paper No. 42, 28 p. (2022).
- [23] Kobayashi, M.; Sato, E.: A note on operating functions of modulation spaces. *J. Pseudo-Differ. Oper. Appl.* 13, No. 4, Paper No. 61, 17 p. (2022).
- [24] Lai, H.-C.: On some properties of $A^p(G)$ -algebras. *Proc. Japan Acad.* 45 (7), 572-576, (1969).
- [25] Larsen, R.: The algebras of functions with Fourier transforms in L^p : a survey. *Nieuw Arch. Wiskd., III. Ser.* 22, 195-240 (1974).
- [26] Lévy, P.: Sur la convergence absolue des séries de Fourier. *Compositio Math.* 1, 1–14 (1935).
- [27] Losert, V.: A characterization of the minimal strongly character invariant Segal algebra. *Ann. Inst. Fourier* 30, No. 3, 129-139 (1980).
- [28] Lu, Y.: Sharp embedding between Besov-Triebel-Sobolev spaces and modulation spaces. arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.05336, 2022
- [29] Okoudjou, K.A.: A Beurling-Helson type theorem for modulation spaces. *J. Funct. Spaces Appl.* 7, No. 1, 33-41 (2009).
- [30] Reiter, H.: Classical Harmonic Analysis and Locally Compact Groups. Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1968. xi+200 pp. (1968).
- [31] Reiter, H.; Stegeman, J D.: Classical Harmonic Analysis and Locally Compact Groups. 2nd ed. London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series. 22. Oxford: Clarendon Press. xiii, 327 p. (2000).
- [32] Rudin, W.: Fourier Analysis on Groups. Interscience Tracts in Pure and Applied Mathematics. 12. New York and London: Interscience Publishers, division of John Wiley and Sons. IX, 285 p. (1962).
- [33] Stegeman, J. D.: Wiener - Ditkin sets for certain Beurling algebras. *Monatsh. Math.* 82, 337-340 (1976).

- [34] Teofanov, N.; Toft, J.: An excursion to multiplications and convolutions on modulation spaces. Aron, Richard M. (ed.) et al., Operator and norm inequalities and related topics. Cham: Birkhäuser. Trends Math., 601-637 (2022).
- [35] Toft, J.: Continuity properties for modulation spaces, with applications to pseudo-differential calculus. I. J. Funct. Anal. 207. no. 2, 399–429 (2004).
- [36] Toft, J.: Continuity properties for modulation spaces, with applications to pseudo-differential calculus. II. Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 26 no. 1, 73–106 (2004).
- [37] Wang, B.; Huo, Z.; Hao, C.; Guo, Z.: Harmonic Analysis Method for Nonlinear Evolution Equations. I. Hackensack, NJ: World Scientific. xiv, 283 p. (2011).
- [38] Wiener, N.: Tauberian theorems, Annals. of Math. (2) 33, 1–100 (1932).
- [39] Yap, L.Y.H.: Nonfactorization of functions in Banach subspaces of $L^1(G)$. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 51, 356-358 (1975).

HANS G. FEICHTINGER, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF VIENNA,
OSKAR-MORGENSTERN-PLATZ 1, A-1090 WIEN, AUSTRIA AND ACOUSTIC RE-
SEARCH INSTITUTE, AUSTRIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES

Email address: `hans.feichtinger@univie.ac.at`

MASAHARU KOBAYASHI, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HOKKAIDO UNI-
VERSITY, KITA 10, NISHI 8, KITA-KU, SAPPORO, HOKKAIDO, 060-0810, JAPAN

Email address: `m-kobayashi@math.sci.hokudai.ac.jp`

ENJI SATO, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, YAMAGATA UNIVERSITY, KOJIRAKAWA 1-
4-12, YAMAGATA-CITY, YAMAGATA 990-8560, JAPAN

Email address: `esato@sci.kj.yamagata-u.ac.jp`